arXiv:1303.6557v1 [math.CV] 26 Mar 2013

SOLVING KOHN LAPLACIAN ON ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT PSEUDOHERMITIAN 3-MANIFOLDS

CHIN-YU HSIAO AND PO-LAM YUNG

Abstract. Let $(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X})$ be a compact orientable embeddable three dimensional strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold, where $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$ is a CR structure on $\hat{X}$. Fix a point $p \in \hat{X}$ and take a global contact form $\hat{\theta}$ so that $\hat{\theta}$ is asymptotically flat near p. $(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \hat{\theta})$ is a pseudohermitian 3-manifold. Let $G_p \in C^\infty(\hat{X} \setminus \{p\})$, $G_p \neq 0$, with $G_p(x) \sim \vartheta(x,p)^{-2}$ near p, where $\vartheta(x,y)$ denotes the natural pseudohermitian distance on $\hat{X}$. Consider the new pseudohermitian 3-manifold with a blow-up of contact form $(\hat{X} \setminus \{p\}, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, G_p^2\hat{\theta})$ and let $\Box_b$ denote the corresponding Kohn Laplacian on $\hat{X} \setminus \{p\}$. In this paper, we prove that the weighted Kohn Laplacian $G_p^2\Box_b$ has L^2 closed range with respect to the volume form $G_p^2\hat{\theta} \wedge d\hat{\theta}$ and the associated partial inverse and the Szegö projection enjoy some regularity properties near p. As an application, we prove the existence of some special functions in the kernel of $\Box_b$ of specific growth rate at p, which are used in the proof of the positive p-mass theorem in CR geometry for dimension 3.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of the main results 1
1.1. Some standard notations 3
1.2. Set up and the main results 4
1.3. The outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2 9
2. Some properties for the smooth Kohn Laplacian $\hat{\Box}_b$ 11
3. Cancellation properties for $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ 15
4. The CR function ψ 25
5. The closed range property for $\Box_{b,1}$ and the relation between $\Box_{b,1}$ and $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ 29
6. The asymptotic behaviour of $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ and the proof of Theorem 1.2 34
7. The existence of distributions in the kernel of $\Box_{b,1}$ of specific growth rate of p 41
References 43

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

The study of this paper was motivated by that of a positive p-mass theorem in Cheng-Malchiodi-Yang [3], where one needs to find special functions

---

The first author is supported by the DFG funded project MA 2469/2-1.

The second author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1201474.

in the kernel of the associated Kohn Laplacian on an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian 3-manifold of specific growth rate at a given point. Let us give a brief description of the story below.

We consider a compact orientable embeddable three dimensional strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold $(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X})$ with CR structure $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$. Fix $p \in \hat{X}$ and take a contact form $\hat{\theta}$ so that near p it has the behaviour as described in (1.10). $(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \hat{\theta})$ is a pseudohermitian 3-manifold. Assume that the Tanaka-Webster curvature is strictly positive. Then, the conformal sublaplacian $L_b := -4\Delta_b + R$ is invertible so near p, there exists a Green function G_p for which $(-4\Delta_b + R)G_p = 16\delta_p$, where Δ_b stands for the sublaplacian on $\hat{X}$ and R for the Tanaka-Webster curvature. In CR normal coordinates (z, t), $(z(p), t(p)) = 0$ (see (1.10)), G_p admits the following expansion

$$G_p = \frac{1}{2\pi}\hat{\rho}^{-2} + A + f, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1}),$$

where A is some real constant, $\hat{\rho}^4(z,t) = |z|^4 + t^2$ and where $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the set of all smooth functions $g \in C^\infty(\hat{X} \setminus \{p\})$ such that $|\partial_z^p \partial_{\overline{z}}^q \partial_t^r g| \leq C_{p,q,r}\hat{\rho}^{m-p-q-2r}$ near p, for all $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}\bigcup\{0\}$, where $C_{p,q,r} > 0$ is a constant (see (1.11), for the precise meaning for the space $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$).

Consider the new pseudohermitian manifold with a blow-up of contact form $(X := \hat{X} \setminus \{p\}, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \theta := G_p^2\hat{\theta})$ and let $\overline{\partial}_b$ and $\Box_b$ denote the associated tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator and Kohn Laplacian respectively. It was introduced in [3] that the pseudohermitian p-mass for $(X, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \theta)$ is given by $m(\theta) := \lim_{\Lambda\to 0} i\int_{\{\hat{\rho}=\Lambda\}} \omega_1^1 \wedge \theta$, where ω_1^1 stands for the connection form of the given CR structure. Under the assumption that the CR Paneitz operator is non-negative, it was shown in [3] that there is a $\widetilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$ with $\Box_b\widetilde{\beta} = \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{4-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$, such that

$$m(\theta) \geq -c_0\int_X \left|\Box_b\widetilde{\beta}\right|^2 \theta \wedge d\theta + c_1\int_X R\left|\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{\beta}\right|_\theta^2 \theta \wedge d\theta, \tag{1.1}$$

where $c_0 > 0$, $c_1 > 0$ are constants independent of $\widetilde{\beta}$ and $\left|\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{\beta}\right|_\theta$ denotes the pointwise norm of $\overline{\partial}_b\widetilde{\beta}$ induced by θ. Moreover, (1.1) holds for any $\beta \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$ with $\widetilde{\beta} - \beta \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{1+\delta})$, for some $\delta > 0$, and $\Box_b\beta = \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{4-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$. Thus, if we could find such a β in the kernel of $\Box_b$, then we can conclude that the mass $m(\theta)$ is positive. This is the motivation of this paper. The difficulty of this problem comes from the fact that $\Box_b$ may not has L^2 closed range with respect to the volume form $\theta \wedge d\theta$ and, in general, it is very difficult to solve the $\Box_b$ equation.

To overcome this difficulty, we introduce in this paper the weighted Kohn Laplacian $\Box_{b,1} := G_p^2\Box_b$ and we show that $\Box_{b,1}$ has L^2 closed range with respect to the weighted volume form $m_1 := G_p^{-2}\theta\wedge d\theta$. Let $L^2(m_1)$ be the L^2 space induced by the volume form m_1. Then, we have the L^2 decomposition

$$\Box_{b,1}N + \Pi = I \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(m_1),$$

where $N : L^2(m_1) \to \operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,1}$ is the partial inverse and $\Pi : L^2(m_1) \to (\operatorname{Ran}\Box_{b,1})^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection onto $(\operatorname{Ran}\Box_{b,1})^\perp$. Our main result (see Theorem 1.2) tells us that for every $0 < \delta < 2$, N and Π can be extended continuously to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&N:\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta})\rightarrow\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{\delta}),\\
&\Pi:\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta})\rightarrow\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.2}
$$

and hence

$$\Box_{b,1}N+\Pi=I \quad\text{on}\quad \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}),\tag{1.3}$$

for every $0<\delta<2$. Now, let $\widetilde{\beta}$ be as in (1.1). Put $f:=\Box_{b,1}\widetilde{\beta}=G_p^2\Box_b\widetilde{\beta}\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-\gamma})$, for every $0<\gamma<1$. From (1.2), we know that Πf is well-defined and $Nf\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{2-\gamma})$, for every $0<\gamma<1$. Moreover, we can show that $\Pi f=0$ (see section 7) and from (1.3), we have $\Box_{b,1}(Nf)=f=\Box_{b,1}\widetilde{\beta}$. Thus, if we put $\beta:=\widetilde{\beta}-Nf\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$, then $\Box_b\beta=0$ and $\beta-\widetilde{\beta}\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{2-\gamma})$, for every $0<\gamma<1$. We could solve the problem mentioned above.

We expect that it is possible to solve this problem by using L^p method. In [10], we solve the $\Box_b$ equation in some L^p spaces in a special case.

The operator $\Box_{b,1}$ can be seen as the Kohn Laplacian on the non-compact CR manifold $X=\hat{X}\setminus\{p\}$ defined with respect to the natural CR structure $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$ and the "singular" volume form m_1. The coefficients of $\Box_{b,1}$ are smooth on X but singular at p. This work can be seen for the first time a study of this kind of "singular Kohn Laplacians". It is quite interesting to develop some kind of "singular" functional calculus for pseudodifferential operators and Fourier integral operators and establish a completely microlocal analysis for $\Box_{b,1}$ along the lines of Beals-Greiner [1], Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand [2] and [9]. We hope that the "singular Kohn Laplacians" will be interesting for analysts.

1.1. Some standard notations.

We shall use the following notations: $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+=\{x\in\mathbb{R};\,x\geq 0\}$, $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,\ldots\}$, $\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\bigcup\{0\}$. An element $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$ of $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ will be called a multiindex and the length of α is: $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n$. We write $x^\alpha=x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$, $\partial_x^\alpha=\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_{x_j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $D_x^\alpha=D_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots D_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $D_x=\frac{1}{i}\partial_x$, $D_{x_j}=\frac{1}{i}\partial_{x_j}$. Let $z=(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, $z_j=x_{2j-1}+ix_{2j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, be coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We write $z^\alpha=z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\overline{z}^\alpha=\overline{z}_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\overline{z}_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z^\alpha}=\partial_z^\alpha=\partial_{z_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{z_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_{z_j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}}-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}})$, $j=1,\ldots,n$. $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial\overline{z}^\alpha}=\partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha=\partial_{\overline{z}_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{\overline{z}_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\partial_{\overline{z}_j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}_j}=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j-1}}+i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2j}})$, $j=1,\ldots,n$.

Let Ω be a C^∞ paracompact manifold equipped with a smooth density of integration. Let $T\Omega$, $T^*\Omega$, $\mathbb{C}T\Omega$ and $\mathbb{C}T^*\Omega$ denote the tangent bundle of Ω, the cotangent bundle of Ω, the complexified tangent bundle of Ω and the complexified cotangent bundle of Ω respectively. Let E be a C^∞ vector bundle over Ω. Let $Y\subset\Omega$ be an open set. From now on, the spaces of smooth sections of E over Y and distribution sections of E over Y will be denoted by $C^\infty(Y,E)$ and $\mathscr{D}'(Y,E)$ respectively. Let $\mathscr{E}'(Y,E)$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{D}'(Y,E)$ whose elements have compact support in Y. We write $C_0^\infty(Y,E):=C^\infty(Y,E)\bigcap\mathscr{E}'(Y,E)$. When E is the trivial bundle $\Omega\otimes\mathbb{C}$, we sometimes write $C^\infty(Y)$, $\mathscr{D}'(Y)$ and $\mathscr{E}'(Y)$ instead of $C^\infty(Y,E)$, $\mathscr{D}'(Y,E)$ and $\mathscr{E}'(Y,E)$ respectively. For $m\in\mathbb{R}$, we let $H^m(Y,E)$ denote the usual

Sobolev space of order m of sections of E over Y. Put

$$H^m_{\rm loc}(Y,E)=\big\{u\in\mathscr{D}'(Y,E);\ \varphi u\in H^m(Y,E),\ \forall\varphi\in C^\infty_0(Y)\big\}\,,$$
$$H^m_{\rm comp}(Y,E)=H^m_{\rm loc}(Y,E)\cap\mathscr{E}'(Y,E)\,.$$

Similarly, when E is the trivial bundle $\Omega\otimes\mathbb{C}$, we sometimes write $H^m(Y)$, $H^m_{\rm loc}(Y)$ and $H^m_{\rm comp}(Y)$ instead of $H^m(Y,E)$, $H^m_{\rm loc}(Y,E)$ and $H^m_{\rm comp}(Y,E)$ respectively.

1.2. **Set up and the main results.** Let $(\hat{X},T^{1,0}\hat{X},\hat{\theta})$ be a compact pseudohermitian 3-manifold, where $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$ is a CR structure on $\hat{X}$, $\hat{\theta}$ is a (smooth) contact form associated to $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$. Note that by the definition of pseudohermitian manifolds, the Levi form $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\theta},x}$ (with respect to $\hat{\theta}$) is positive definite at each point of $\hat{X}$. That is, $(\hat{X},T^{1,0}\hat{X})$ is a strongly pseudoconvex CR manifold. We recall that $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$ is a complex 1-dimensional subbundle of the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T\hat{X}$, satisfying $T^{1,0}\hat{X}\bigcap T^{0,1}\hat{X}=\{0\}$, where $T^{0,1}\hat{X}=\overline{T^{1,0}\hat{X}}$, and $[\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}]\subset\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}=C^\infty(\hat{X},T^{1,0}\hat{X})$, and $\hat{\theta}$ is a global real non-vanishing 1 form such that $\hat{\theta}$ pointwise annihilates $T^{1,0}\hat{X}\oplus T^{0,1}\hat{X}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\theta},x}$ is the Hermitian quadratic form on $T^{1,0}_x\hat{X}$, $x\in\hat{X}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\theta},x}(U,\overline{V})=-\frac{1}{2i}\langle\, d\hat{\theta}(x)\,,U\wedge\overline{V}\,\rangle,\ \ U,V\in T^{1,0}_x\hat{X},\tag{1.4}$$

where d is the usual exterior derivative and $\langle\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,\rangle$ denotes the duality bracket between vector fields and forms. Let $\hat{T}$ be the unique global real vector field determined by

$$\langle\hat{\theta},\hat{T}\rangle=-1,\ \ \langle\, d\hat{\theta}\,,U\wedge\hat{T}\,\rangle=0,\ \ \forall U\in T^{1,0}\hat{X}.\tag{1.5}$$

Let $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}$ be the smooth Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}T\hat{X}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\, U\,|\,V\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}=\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\theta},x}(U,\overline{V}),\ \ U,V\in T^{1,0}\hat{X},\\&\langle\, U\,|\,V\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}=\overline{\langle\,\overline{U}\,|\,\overline{V}\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}},\ \ U,V\in T^{0,1}\hat{X},\\&T^{1,0}\hat{X}\perp T^{0,1}\hat{X},\ \ \hat{T}\perp(T^{1,0}\hat{X}\oplus T^{0,1}\hat{X}),\ \ \Big\|\hat{T}\Big\|^2_{\hat{\theta}}:=\langle\,\hat{T}\,|\,\hat{T}\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}=1.\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

Locally, we take $\hat{Z}_1\in C^\infty(U,T^{1,0}\hat{X})$ so that $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\theta},x}(\hat{Z}_1,\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}})=1$ at each point of U, where U is an open set of X and $\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}=\overline{\hat{Z}_1}$. In this paper, we always write $\hat{Z}_1$ to denote such a local vector field and we write $\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}:=\overline{\hat{Z}_1}$. Then, $\hat{Z}_1,\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}},\hat{T}$ is a local orthonormal frame for $\mathbb{C}T\hat{X}$ with respect to $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}$.

The Hermitian metric $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}$ on $\mathbb{C}T\hat{X}$ induces, by duality, a Hermitian metric on the complexified cotangent bundle $\mathbb{C}T^*\hat{X}$ that we shall also denote by $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}$. Denote by $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}$ and $\Lambda^{1,0}T^*\hat{X}$ the dual bundles of $T^{0,1}\hat{X}$ and $T^{1,0}\hat{X}$, respectively. Let $D\subset\hat{X}$ be an open set. Let $\Omega^{0,1}(D)$ denote the space of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,1}T^*X$ over D. Let $\Omega^{0,1}_0(D)$ be the subspace of $\Omega^{0,1}(D)$ whose elements have compact support in D. For $f\in\Omega^{0,1}(D)$, we denote the pointwise norm $|f(x)|^2_{\hat{\theta}}:=\langle f(x)|f(x)\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}$.

The positive density associated to $\hat{\theta}$ is given by

$$\hat{m}:=\hat{\theta}\wedge d\hat{\theta}.\tag{1.7}$$

The L^2 inner products $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$ on $C^\infty(\hat{X})$ and $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$ respectively are given by

$$\begin{aligned}(u|v)_{\hat{m}} &= \int_{\hat{X}} u\overline{v}\hat{m}, \quad u,v\in C^\infty(\hat{X}),\\ (u|v)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} &= \int_{\hat{X}} \langle\, u\,|\, v\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}\hat{m}, \quad u,v\in\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X}).\end{aligned}\tag{1.8}$$

We denote by $L^2(\hat{m})$, $L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})$ the completions of $C^\infty(\hat{X})$ and $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$ respectively. We extend the L^2 inner products $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$ to $L^2(\hat{m})$ and $L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})$ respectively. We write $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{m}}$, $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$ to denote the corresponding norms.

Let $\overline{\partial}_b$ denote the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator on functions

$$\overline{\partial}_b : C^\infty(\hat{X}) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X}).\tag{1.9}$$

We extend $\overline{\partial}_b$ to $L^2(\hat{m})$ by

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b : \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \subset L^2(\hat{m}) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta}),$$

where $\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b := \{u\in L^2(\hat{m}); \overline{\partial}_b u \in L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})\}$. Here for $u\in L^2(\hat{m})$, $\overline{\partial}_b u$ is defined in the sense of distribution on $\hat{X}$. In this work, we assume that

Assumption 1.1. *The operator*

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b : \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \subset L^2(\hat{m}) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})$$

has closed range.

From now on, we fix $p\in\hat{X}$ and put $X := \hat{X}\setminus\{p\}$. We assume that near p, we can take local CR normal coordinates (z,t), $z = x_1 + ix_2$, defined in some small neighbourhood of p such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(z(p),t(p)) = 0,\\ &\hat{Z}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - i\overline{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}} + \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^5)\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\\ &\hat{T} = (1+\varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4))\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^3)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^3)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}},\end{aligned}\tag{1.10}$$

where

$$\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}(z,t) := (|z|^4 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

and $\varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4)$ denotes a smooth function $f\in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ such that $f\leq C\hat{\rho}^4$ near p for some $C>0$ and similarly for $\varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^3)$ and $\varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^5)$. It is well-known (see Proposition 6.8 in [3]) that given a point $p\in\hat{X}$, we can always find a global contact form $\hat{\theta}$ and local CR normal coordinates (z,t) such that (1.10) hold. In this work, near p, we always work with this local coordinates (z,t). From now on, we extend $\hat{\rho}$ to a positive smooth function on X. Thus, $\hat{\rho}\in C^\infty(X)$, $\hat{\rho}>0$ on X and $\hat{\rho} = (|z|^4+t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ near p.

We pause and introduce some notations. Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$. We denote by ∇_b^k any differential operator of the form $L_1\ldots L_k$, where $L_j\in C^\infty(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X}\oplus T^{0,1}\hat{X})$, $\langle\, L_j\,|\,L_j\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}} \leq 1$, $j=1,\ldots,k$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\rho}^m) = \mathcal{O}^{(0)}(\hat{\rho}^m)$, $m\in\mathbb{R}$, denote the set of all $f\in C^\infty(X)$ such that $|f|\leq C\hat{\rho}^m$ near p, for some

$C > 0$. Let $\mathcal{O}^{(1)}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ such that $\nabla_b f \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\rho}^{m-1})$. Similarly, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{O}^{(k)}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ such that $\nabla_b f \in \mathcal{O}^{(k-1)}(\hat{\rho}^{m-1})$. Put

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup \{0\}} \mathcal{O}^{(k)}(\hat{\rho}^m). \tag{1.11}$$

Let $\Omega \subset \hat{X}$ be an open set. For $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, define

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)| .$$

$\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ is a Fréchet space with the semi-norms:

$$u \to \left\| \hat{\nabla}_b^k (\hat{\rho}^{-m+k} u) \right\|_{L^\infty(X)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m), \tag{1.12}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. These semi-norms define the topology of $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$. We notice that for every $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $C_0^\infty(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ for the topology of $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{m'})$, for every $m' < m$. Note that $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m) \subset \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{m'})$ if $m' < m$. Let $A : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{m_1})$, $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, be a linear map. We say that A is continuous if A is continuous with respect to the topologies of $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{m_1})$. Let E be a vector bundle over $\hat{X}$ of rank r. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ be any local frame in some small neighbourhood U of p. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m, E)$ be the set of all $u \in C^\infty(X, E)$ such that $\chi u_j \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^m)$, for every $\chi \in C_0^\infty(U)$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$, where $u = u_1 f_1 + \cdots + u_r f_r$ on U, $u_j \in C^\infty(X \bigcap U)$, $j = 1, \ldots, r$.

Let $G_p \in C^\infty(X)$ be a real valued function such that near p, we have

$$G_p = \frac{1}{2\pi \hat{\rho}^2} + A + f, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^1), \tag{1.13}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. We assume that $G_p \neq 0$ on X. Put

$$\theta = G_p^2 \hat{\theta}. \tag{1.14}$$

We consider $(X, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \theta)$. Then, $(X, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \theta)$ is a non-compact pseudohermitian 3-manifold. When the Tanaka-Webster curvature R is positive on X (see section 1.1 in [3] for the definition of Tanaka-Webster curvature) and G_p is the Green function for $-4\triangle_b + R$ at p, we call $(X, T^{1,0}\hat{X}, \theta)$ an asymptotically flat pseudohermitian manifold, where $\triangle_b$ denotes the sublaplacian on X, that is, $\triangle_b$ is the real part of the Kohn Laplacian with respect to $\hat{m}$.

Put

$$m := \theta \wedge d\theta. \tag{1.15}$$

Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\theta$ be the Hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}T_x^*\hat{X}$, $x \in X$, induced by θ (see the discussion before (1.7)). As in (1.8), let $(\cdot | \cdot)_m$ and $(\cdot | \cdot)_{m,\theta}$ denote the L^2 inner products on $C_0^\infty(X)$ and $\Omega_0^{0,1}(X)$ with respect to m and m, $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\theta$ respectively. Let $\overline{\partial}_b^{*,f} : \Omega_0^{0,1}(X) \to C_0^\infty(X)$ be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\cdot | \cdot)_m$ and $(\cdot | \cdot)_{m,\theta}$. That is,

$$(\overline{\partial}_b u | v)_{m,\theta} = (u | \overline{\partial}_b^{*,f} v)_m, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(X), v \in \Omega_0^{0,1}(X).$$

Put

$$\Box_b := \overline{\partial}_b^{*,f} \overline{\partial}_b : C_0^\infty(X) \to C_0^\infty(X). \tag{1.16}$$

We extend $\Box_b$ to $\mathscr{D}'(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Box_b : \mathscr{D}'(X) \to \mathscr{D}'(X),\\&(\Box_b u \mid f)_m = (u \mid \Box_b f)_m, \quad u \in \mathscr{D}'(X), f \in C_0^\infty(X).\end{aligned}\tag{1.17}$$

In the study of a positive p-mass theorem in [3], it is crucial to be able to construct a distribution $\beta \in \mathscr{D}'(X)$ in the kernel of $\Box_b$ such that $\beta \in C^\infty(X)$ and β satisfies a certain blow-up rate at p (see Theorem 1.4 and Remark 1.5). This will be done in this work. In this paper, we reduce this problem to solving another Kohn Laplacian with respect to $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_{\hat\theta}$ and the volume form $G_p^{-2}m$ (see (1.23) below).

Put

$$m_1 := G_p^{-2}m = G_p^{-2}\theta \wedge d\theta = G_p^2\hat\theta \wedge d\hat\theta.\tag{1.18}$$

As in (1.8), let $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1}$, $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1,\hat\theta}$ denote the L^2 inner products on $C_0^\infty(X)$ and $\Omega_0^{0,1}(X)$ with respect to m_1 and m_1, $\langle\cdot\,|\,\cdot\rangle_{\hat\theta}$ respectively. We denote by $L^2(m_1)$, $L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta)$ the completions of $C_0^\infty(X)$, $\Omega_0^{0,1}(X)$ with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1}$ and $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1,\hat\theta}$ respectively. We extend the L^2 inner products $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1}$ and $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1,\hat\theta}$ to $L^2(m_1)$ and $L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta)$ respectively. We write $\|\cdot\|_{m_1}$, $\|\cdot\|_{m_1,\hat\theta}$ to denote the corresponding norms. We extend $\overline\partial_b$ to $L^2(m_1)$ by

$$\overline\partial_{b,1} : \mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,1} \subset L^2(m_1) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta),\tag{1.19}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,1} := \{u \in L^2(m_1); \overline\partial_b u \in L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta)\}.$$

Here for $u \in L^2(m_1)$, $\overline\partial_b u$ is defined in the sense of distribution on X. Let

$$\overline\partial^*_{b,1} : \mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial^*_{b,1} \subset L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta) \to L^2(m_1)\tag{1.20}$$

denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\overline\partial_{b,1}$ with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1}$, $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{m_1,\hat\theta}$. Let $\Box_{b,1}$ denote the Gaffney extension of the singular Kohn Laplacian given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,1}\\&=\left\{s \in L^2(m_1);\ s \in \mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial_{b,1},\ \overline\partial_{b,1}s \in \mathrm{Dom}\,\overline\partial^*_{b,1}\right\},\end{aligned}\tag{1.21}$$

and $\Box_{b,1}s = \overline\partial^*_{b,1}\overline\partial_{b,1}s$ for $s \in \mathrm{Dom}\,\Box_{b,1}$. By a result of Gaffney, $\Box_{b,1}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator (see [13, Prop. 3.1.2]). We extend $\Box_{b,1}$ to $\mathscr{D}'(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Box_{b,1} : \mathscr{D}'(X) \to \mathscr{D}'(X),\\&(\Box_{b,1}u \mid f)_{m_1} = (u \mid \Box_{b,1}f)_{m_1}, \quad u \in \mathscr{D}'(X),\ f \in C_0^\infty(X).\end{aligned}\tag{1.22}$$

Note that for $f \in C_0^\infty(X)$, it is obviously that $\Box_{b,1}f \in C_0^\infty(X)$. It is easy to see that

$$\Box_{b,1}u = G_p^2\Box_b u, \quad \forall u \in \mathscr{D}'(X).\tag{1.23}$$

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.2. *With the notations and assumptions above. The operator*

$$\Box_{b,1} : \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,1} \subset L^2(m_1) \to L^2(m_1)$$

has closed range. Let N *be the partial inverse of* $\Box_{b,1}$ *and let* $\Pi : L^2(m_1) \to \operatorname{Ker} \Box_{b,1}$ *be the orthogonal projection (see* (1.25) *below). Then, for every* $0 < \delta < 2$, N *and* Π *can be extended continuously to:*

$$\begin{aligned} N &: \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{\delta}), \\ \Pi &: \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

We have the L^2 decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \Box_{b,1} N + \Pi = I \quad &\text{on} \quad L^2(m_1), \\ N \Box_{b,1} + \Pi = I \quad &\text{on} \quad \operatorname{Dom} \Box_{b,1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

From (1.24) and (1.25), we have

$$\Box_{b,1} N + \Pi = I \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}), \tag{1.26}$$

for every $0 < \delta < 2$. From (1.26) and (1.24), we deduce

Theorem 1.3. *Fix* $0 < \delta < 2$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta})$ *with* $\Pi f = 0$, *then we can find* $u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{\delta})$ *such that* $\Box_{b,1} u = f$.

Put

$$\alpha_0 = \chi(z,t) \frac{i\overline{z}}{|z|^2 - it} \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1}),$$

where $\chi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\chi = 1$ near p, $\operatorname{Supp} \chi$ is in some small neighbourhood of p. In section 7, we will show that $f := \Box_{b,1} \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$ and $\Pi f = 0$. From this, (1.23) and Theorem 1.3, we deduce

Theorem 1.4. *We can find a function*

$$\alpha = \chi(z,t) \frac{i\overline{z}}{|z|^2 - it} + \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$$

with $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho})$, *such that* $\Box_b \alpha = 0$, *where* $\chi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\chi = 1$ *near* p, $\operatorname{Supp} \chi$ *is in some small neighbourhood of* p.

Remark 1.5. In Cheng-Malchiodi-Yang [3], it was shown that there is a function

$$\beta_0 = \chi(z,t) \frac{i\overline{z}}{|z|^2 - it} + \beta_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1}) \tag{1.27}$$

with $\beta_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho})$ and $\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}} \beta_1$ has a special form, where $\chi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\chi = 1$ near p, $\operatorname{Supp} \chi$ is in some small neighbourhood of p, such that $\Box_b \beta_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{4-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$. Put $f = \Box_{b,1} \beta_0$. From (1.23), we know that $f = \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$. We can repeat the proof of Theorem 1.4 and conclude that $\Pi f = 0$ (see section 7). From this and Theorem 1.3, we deduce that there is a function

$$\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$$

with $\beta_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{2-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$, such that $\Box_b \beta = 0$, where $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$ is as in (1.27).

1.3. **The outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2.** We new give the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.2. We first show that $\Box_{b,1}$ has L^2 closed range. The point of our proof is that we could construct a smooth function $\psi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ such that $\overline{\partial}_b\psi = 0$ on $\hat{X}$, $\psi \neq 0$ on X and near p, we have

$$\psi(z,t) = (2\pi)(|z|^2 + it) + R, \quad R = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4). \tag{1.28}$$

Put $\widetilde{m} := G_p^2\,|\psi|^2\,\hat{m} = G_p^2\,|\psi|^2\,\hat{\theta}\wedge d\hat{\theta}$. As in (1.8), let $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$, $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$ denote the L^2 inner products on $C^\infty(\hat{X})$ and $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$ with respect to $\widetilde{m}$ and $\widetilde{m}$, $\hat{\theta}$ respectively. We denote by $L^2(\widetilde{m})$, $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})$ the completions of $C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$ respectively. It is easy to see that near p, we have

$$\frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}} = 1 + a(z,t), \quad a(z,t) \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^2). \tag{1.29}$$

We extend $\overline{\partial}_b$ to $L^2(\widetilde{m})$ by $\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b : \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b \subset L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})$, where $\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b := \{u \in L^2(\widetilde{m}); \overline{\partial}_b u \in L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})\}$. Since ψ is a CR function, it is not difficult to see that

$$u \in \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1} \text{ if and only if } \tfrac{u}{\psi} \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b \tag{1.30}$$

and

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,1}u = \psi\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b\frac{u}{\psi}, \quad \forall u \in \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}. \tag{1.31}$$

Since $L^2(\widetilde{m}) = L^2(\hat{m})$, $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}) = L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})$, we have $\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b : \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b \subset L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})$ has closed range. Combining this observation with (1.30) and (1.31), we see that $\overline{\partial}_{b,1} : \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1} \subset L^2(m_1) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat{\theta})$ has closed range. From this, we can repeat the method of Kohn [12] and conclude that $\Box_{b,1} : \operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,1} \subset L^2(m_1) \to L^2(m_1)$ has closed range.

Now, we sketch the proof of (1.24). Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}^*_b : \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}^*_b \subset L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m})$ denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b$ and let $\widetilde{\Box}_b = \widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}^*_b\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b$ denote the Gaffney extension of Kohn Laplacian as (1.21). Note that $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ is self-adjoint. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}}_b$ has closed range, $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ has closed range. Let $\widetilde{N}$ be the partial inverse of $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ and let $\widetilde{\Pi}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\Box}_b$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\Box}_b\widetilde{N} + \widetilde{\Pi} = I \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\widetilde{m}),\\ &\widetilde{N}\widetilde{\Box}_b + \widetilde{\Pi} = I \quad \text{on} \quad \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b. \end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

Moreover, from (1.30) and (1.31), it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &N = \psi\widetilde{N}\frac{1}{\psi} \quad \text{on } L^2(m_1),\\ &\Pi = \psi\widetilde{\Pi}\frac{1}{\psi} \quad \text{on } L^2(m_1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

Thus, to prove (1.24), it is equivalent to prove corresponding properties for $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$. Let $\hat{\Box}_b$ be the standard (smooth) Kohn Laplacian defined with respect to the smooth inner products $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{\hat{m}}$ and $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$. Since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ has closed range, $\hat{\Box}_b$ has closed range. Let $\hat{N} : L^2(\hat{m}) \to \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}_b$ be the partial inverse of $\hat{\Box}_b$ and let $\hat{\Pi} : L^2(\hat{m}) \to \operatorname{Ker}\hat{\Box}_b$ be the orthogonal projection. We know already that $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ satisfy some kernel estimates (see Christ [5], [6] and Koenig [11]). From these kernel estimates and local L^2 estimates for $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ equation, $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ enjoy some cancellation properties and we could prove that for every $0 < \delta < 2$, $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ can be extended continuously to:

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{N} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}),\\&\hat{\Pi} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}).\end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

From (1.29), it is easy to see that $\hat{\Box}_b = \widetilde{\Box}_b - g\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$, $g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}, \mathbb{C}))$. Combining this with some algebraic arguments, we have the following formulas

$$\widetilde{\Pi}(I + \hat{R}) = \hat{\Pi} \ \text{ on } L^2(\widetilde{m}), \tag{1.35}$$

$$\widetilde{N}(I + \hat{R}) = \hat{N} - \widetilde{\Pi}\hat{N} \ \text{ on } L^2(\widetilde{m}), \tag{1.36}$$

where $\hat{R} = g\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{N}$. Note that $\hat{R} : L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m})$ is continuous and $\hat{R} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta})$ is continuous, $\forall 0 < \delta < 2$. Fix $0 < \delta < 2$. For $u \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$, define $\widetilde{\Pi}u := \hat{\Pi}u - \widetilde{\Pi}\hat{R}u$, $\widetilde{N}u := \hat{N}u - \widetilde{\Pi}\hat{N}u - \widetilde{N}\hat{R}u$. From (1.34), we know that $\hat{N}u, \hat{R}u \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}) \subset L^2(\widetilde{m})$, thus $\widetilde{N}\hat{R}u$, $\widetilde{\Pi}\hat{R}u$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}\hat{N}u$ are well-defined and $\widetilde{\Pi} - \hat{\Pi} = -\widetilde{\Pi}\hat{R} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m})$ is continuous, $\widetilde{N} - \hat{N} = -\widetilde{\Pi}\hat{N} - \widetilde{N}\hat{R} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m})$ is continuous. We conclude that $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ can be extended continuously to:

$$\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{\Pi} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}),$$

for every $0 < \delta < 2$.

Taking adjoint with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{\widetilde{m}}$ in (1.35) and (1.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}})(\widetilde{\Pi} - \hat{\Pi}) + (I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}})\hat{\Pi} = \hat{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}},\\&(I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}})(\widetilde{N} - \hat{N}) + (I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}})\hat{N} = \hat{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}} - \hat{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}(\widetilde{\Pi} - \hat{\Pi}) - \hat{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\hat{\Pi}\end{aligned} \tag{1.37}$$

on $\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$, for every $0 < \delta < 2$, where $\hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}$, $\hat{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}$ and $\hat{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}$ are the Hilbert space adjoints of $\hat{R}$, $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ with respect to $(\cdot\,|\,\cdot)_{\widetilde{m}}$ respectively. Let $0 \leq \chi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\chi = 1$ near p and $\chi = 0$ outside some small neighbourhood of p. We first notice that $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ have the following pseudolocal properties on X:

$$(1 - \chi)\widetilde{\Pi}, (1 - \chi)\widetilde{N} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to C^\infty_0(X) \text{ is continuous}, \forall 0 < \delta < 2. \tag{1.38}$$

If $\operatorname{Supp}\chi$ is small enough, then $I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\chi$ has a continuous inverse

$$(I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\chi)^{-1} : L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}).$$

Moreover, by using the kernel estimates and the cancellation property for $\hat{N}$, we can show that $(I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\chi)^{-1}$ can be extended continuously to:

$$(I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\chi)^{-1} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}), \text{ for every } 0 < \delta < 2. \tag{1.39}$$

From (1.34), (1.37), (1.38) and (1.39), we conclude that

$$\widetilde{\Pi} = -(I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\chi)^{-1}\hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}(1-\chi)\widetilde{\Pi} + (I + \hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}\chi)^{-1}\hat{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}$$

and $\widetilde{\Pi} : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$ is continuous, for every $0 < \delta < 2$. A similar argument shows that

$$\widetilde{N} : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta})$$

is continuous, for every $0 < \delta < 2$. Finally, from (1.33), we obtain (1.24).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some results in Christ [5], [6] and [9]. These results will be used in the proof of the cancellation properties for $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$, in the construction of the CR function ψ in (1.28) and in the proof of the pseudolocal properties for $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$. In section 3, we establish the cancellation properties for $\hat{N}$, $\hat{\Pi}$ and we prove (1.34) and (1.39). In section 4, we construct the CR function ψ in (1.28). In section 5, we prove that $\Box_{b,1}$ has closed range and we prove (1.33). In section 6, we establish the formulas (1.35), (1.36) and prove the pseudolocal properties (1.38) and then we finish the proof of (1.24). In section 7, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgements. *The authors would like to express their gratitude to Prof. Jih-Hsin Cheng, Prof. Andrea Malchiodi and Prof. Paul Yang for suggesting to us this beautiful problem and for several useful conversations. The first author would like to thank the institute of Mathematics of Academia Sinica, Taiwan, for offering excellent working conditions during his visit in July, 2012.*

2. Some properties for the smooth Kohn Laplacian $\hat{\Box}_b$

In this section, we review some results in Christ [5], [6] and [9] that we will use later. Let

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} : \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} \subset L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta}) \to L^2(\hat{m}) \tag{2.1}$$

denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$. Let $\hat{\Box}_b$ denote the Gaffney extension of Kohn Laplacian given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}_b\\ &= \left\{s \in L^2(\hat{m});\ s \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b,\ \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b s \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and $\hat{\Box}_b s = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b s$ for $s \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}_b$. By a result of Gaffney [13, Prop. 3.1.2], $\hat{\Box}_b$ is a positive self-adjoint operator. We recall

Definition 2.1. Suppose Q is a closed densely defined operator

$$Q : H \supset \operatorname{Dom} Q \to \operatorname{Ran} Q \subset H,$$

where H is a Hilbert space. Suppose that Q has closed range. By the partial inverse of Q, we mean the bounded operator $M : H \to H$ such that $Q \circ M = \pi_2$, $M \circ Q = \pi_1$ on $\operatorname{Dom} Q$, where π_1, π_2 are the orthogonal projections in H such that $\operatorname{Ran}\pi_1 = (\operatorname{Ker} Q)^{\perp}$, $\operatorname{Ran}\pi_2 = \operatorname{Ran} Q$. In other words, for $u \in H$, let $\pi_2 u = Qv$, $v \in (\operatorname{Ker} Q)^{\perp} \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} Q$. Then, $Mu = v$.

Since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ has closed range, $\hat{\Box}_b$ has closed range. Let $\hat{N} : L^2(\hat{m}) \to \operatorname{Dom} \hat{\Box}_b$ be the partial inverse of $\hat{\Box}_b$ and let $\hat{\Pi} : L^2(\hat{m}) \to \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\Box}_b$ be the orthogonal projection. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{\Box}_b \hat{N} + \hat{\Pi} = I \ \text{ on } L^2(\hat{m}), \\ &\hat{N} \hat{\Box}_b + \hat{\Pi} = I \ \text{ on } \operatorname{Dom} \hat{\Box}_b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In [9], we obtained the explicit formulas for the distribution kernels of $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ by studying the heat equation for $\hat{\Box}_b$. In this work. we only need to know some basic properties for $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$. We now review some results in [9].

We work with some real local coordinates $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ defined on an open set $\Omega \subset X$ and let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ or $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ denote the dual coordinates. We write $< x, \xi >= x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2 + x_3\xi_3$, $< x, \eta >= x_1\eta_1 + x_2\eta_2 + x_3\eta_3$. We identify $T^*\Omega$ with $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$. We recall

Definition 2.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. $S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*\Omega)$ is the space of all $a \in C^\infty(T^*\Omega)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$, there is a constant $c_{\alpha,\beta,K} > 0$ such that $\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x,\xi)\right| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta,K}(1 + |\xi|)^{k - \frac{|\beta|}{2} + \frac{|\alpha|}{2}}$, $(x,\xi) \in T^*\Omega$, $x \in K$. $S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$ is called the space of symbols of order k type $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. We write $S^{-\infty}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S^m_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$, $S^{\infty}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S^m_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let $a(x,\xi) \in S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*\Omega)$. We define $A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int e^{i<x-y,\xi>} a(x,\xi) d\xi$ as an oscillatory integral and we can show that $A : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous and has unique continuous extension: $A : \mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$. Moreover, A is smoothing away the diagonal. That is, $\chi_1 A \chi_2$ is smoothing for all $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{Supp} \chi_1 \bigcap \operatorname{Supp} \chi_2 = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. A pseudodifferential operator of order k type $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is a continuous linear map $A : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ such that the distribution kernel of A is

$$A(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{i<x-y,\xi>} a(x,\xi) d\xi$$

with $a \in S^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(T^*\Omega)$. We call $a(x,\xi)$ the symbol of A. We shall write $L^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order k type $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. We write $L^{-\infty}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} L^m_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$, $L^{\infty}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} L^m_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$.

Let $B : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to C^\infty(\Omega)$ be a continuous operator. Let $B(x,y)$ denote the distribution kernel of B. We say that B is properly supported if $\operatorname{Supp} B(x,y) \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ is proper. That is, the two projections: $t_x : (x,y) \in \operatorname{Supp} B(x,y) \to x \in \Omega$, $t_y : (x,y) \in \operatorname{Supp} B(x,y) \to y \in \Omega$ are proper (i.e. the inverse images of t_x and t_y of all compact subsets of Ω are compact). For every $A \in L^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$, we have the decomposition:$A = A' + F$, where $A' \in L^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ is properly supported and F is smoothing.

We recall the following classical result of Calderon-Vaillancourt (see chapter XVIII of Hörmander [7]).

Theorem 2.4. *If $A \in L^k_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$. Then,*

$$A : H^s_{\mathrm{comp}}(\Omega) \to H^{s-k}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$$

is continuous, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if A is properly supported, then

$$A : H^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \to H^{s-k}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$$

is continuous, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following is well-known (see Proposition 7.6 of part I in [9])

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \hat{X}$ be any local coordinate patch of X. We consider $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ are continuous operators on Ω:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{N} : C^\infty_0(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega), \\ &\hat{\Pi} : C^\infty_0(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\hat{N} \in L^{-1}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ and $\hat{\Pi} \in L^0_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$.

From Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5, we deduce that

Theorem 2.6. *$\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ are continuous operators:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{N} : H^s(\hat{X}) \to H^{s+1}(\hat{X}), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &\hat{\Pi} : H^s(\hat{X}) \to H^s(\hat{X}), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and we can continuously extend $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{X}$ to $\mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{N} : \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}), \\ &\hat{\Pi} : \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

are continuous. Furthermore, we can also continuously extend $\hat{\Box}_b$ to the space $\mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$ by using the fact that $\hat{\Box}_b$ is a second order partial differential operator with smooth coefficients on $C^\infty(\hat{X})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{N}\hat{\Box}_b + \hat{\Pi} = I \quad \text{on } \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}), \\ &\hat{\Box}_b\hat{N} + \hat{\Pi} = I \quad \text{on } \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, let $f \in \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. If f is smooth on an open set $\Omega \subset \hat{X}$, then

$$\hat{N}f \in C^\infty(\Omega), \quad \hat{\Pi}f \in C^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.7}$$

We extend $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^*$ to $\mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X})$ by

$$(\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^* u \mid f)_{\hat{m}} = (u \mid \overline{\partial}_b f)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}},$$

where $u \in \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X})$, $f \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$.

We also need the following

Lemma 2.7. *We have*

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{N} : L^2(\hat{m}) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m}, \hat{\theta})$$

is continuous. Thus, by duality, $\hat{N}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^* : L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m}, \hat{\theta}) \to L^2(\hat{m})$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $u \in L^2(\hat{m})$. Since $\hat{N}u \in \operatorname{Dom} \hat{\Box}_b$, we have

$$(\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \hat{N}u \mid \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \hat{N}u)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = (\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^* \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \hat{N}u \mid u)_{\hat{m}} = (\hat{\Box}_b \hat{N}u \mid u)_{\hat{m}} = ((I - \hat{\Pi})u \mid u)_{\hat{m}}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \hat{N}u \right\|^2_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} \leq \|u\|^2_{\hat{m}}.$$

The lemma follows. ☐

Let $\vartheta(x,y)$ denote the natural pseudohermitian distance on $\hat{X}$ (see section 2 of Koenig [11] and Christ [5]). We recall briefly the definition of $\vartheta(x,y)$. Let $U \subset \hat{X}$ be a local coordinate patch and let $X_1, X_2 \in C^\infty(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X} \oplus T^{0,1}X)$ be local frame for $T^{1,0}\hat{X} \oplus T^{0,1}\hat{X}$ on U, where X_1 and X_2 are real vector fields. For $x, y \in U$, $\vartheta(x,y)$ corresponding to X_1, X_2 is the infimum of all r such that there exists an absolutely continuous function ϕ from $[0,r]$ into the coordinate patch, with $\phi(0) = x$, $\phi(r) = y$, such that for almost all t, $\frac{d\phi}{dt} = a(t)X_1(\phi(t)) + b(t)X_2(\phi(t))$ with $a^2(t) + b^2(t) \leq 1$. By using partition of unity, we can define $\vartheta(x,y)$ on whole manifold $\hat{X}$. It is straightforward to see that in some small neighbourhood Ω of p, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{C}\hat{\rho}(x-y) \leq \vartheta(x,y) \leq C\hat{\rho}(x-y), \quad \forall (x,y) \in \Omega \times \Omega, \\ &\frac{1}{C}\hat{\rho}(x) \leq \vartheta(x,p) \leq C\hat{\rho}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\hat{N}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X} \times \hat{X})$, $\hat{\Pi}(x,y) \in \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X} \times \hat{X})$ be the distribution kernels of $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ respectively. From Theorem 2.5, we know that $\hat{N}(x,y) \in C^\infty(\hat{X} \times \hat{X} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\hat{X} \times \hat{X}))$, $\hat{\Pi}(x,y) \in C^\infty(\hat{X} \times \hat{X} \setminus \operatorname{diag}(\hat{X} \times \hat{X}))$.

We recall that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by ∇^k_b any differential operator of the form $L_1 \ldots L_k$, where $L_j \in C^\infty(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X} \oplus T^{0,1}\hat{X})$, $\langle L_j \,|\, L_j \rangle_{\hat{\theta}} \leq 1$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$. $(\nabla^k_b)_x$ denotes such an operator acting in the x variables and $(\nabla^k_b)_y$ acts in the y variables. The following results about pointwise estimates for $\hat{N}(x,y)$ and $\hat{\Pi}(x,y)$ are well-known (see Christ [5], [6])

Theorem 2.8. *Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, there is a constant $C_{k,l} > 0$ such that for all $(x,y) \in \hat{X} \times \hat{X}$, $x \neq y$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|(\nabla^k_b)_x (\nabla^l_b)_y \hat{N}(x,y)\right| \leq C_{k,l}\vartheta(x,y)^{-2-k-l}, \\ &\left|(\nabla^k_b)_x (\nabla^l_b)_y \hat{\Pi}(x,y)\right| \leq C_{k,l}\vartheta(x,y)^{-4-k-l}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Let consider $(0,1)$ forms. We introduce some notations. Let E and F be a C^∞ vector bundles over $\hat{X}$. From now on, for any continuous operator $H : C^\infty(\hat{X}, E) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}, F)$, we write $H(x,y)$ to denote the distribution kernel of H.

Let G be a C^∞ vector bundle over $\hat{X}$ (or $\hat{X} \times \hat{X}$). For a function $f : \hat{X} \to G$ (or $f : \hat{X} \times \hat{X} \to G$), we define the pointwise norm $|f|$ by using local frames and partition of unity and we define $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}$ (or $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X} \times \hat{X})}$) in the usual way.

Let $\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b$ denote the Gaffney extension of Kohn Laplacian for $(0,1)$ forms given by

$$\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b=\left\{s\in L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta});\ s\in\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b,\ \hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b s\in\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\right\},$$

and $\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b s=\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b s$ for $s\in\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b$. Since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ has closed range, it is well-known that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b$ and $\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b$ have closed ranges (see Kohn [12]). Let $\hat{\Pi}_1: L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})\rightarrow\operatorname{Ker}\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b$ be the orthogonal projection and let

$$\hat{N}_1: L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta})\rightarrow\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b$$

be the partial inverse. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b\hat{N}_1+\hat{\Pi}_1=I\ \text{ on } L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta}),\\&\hat{N}_1\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b+\hat{\Pi}_1=I\ \text{ on }\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\Box}^{(1)}_b.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

It is well-known that (see [12], [9])

$$\hat{N}_1,\hat{\Pi}_1:\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})\rightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X}).\tag{2.11}$$

As Theorem 2.5, it was shown in [9] that $\hat{N}_1$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order -1 type $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ and $\hat{\Pi}_1$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order 0 type $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$.

Let $\hat{K}$ and $\hat{K}_*$ be the relative solution operators of $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and $\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b$ respectively. That is, $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{K}=I-\hat{\Pi}_1$, $\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b\hat{K}_*=I-\hat{\Pi}$. It is easy to see that $\hat{K}=\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b\hat{N}_1$, $\hat{K}_*=\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{N}$ and $\hat{N}=\hat{K}\hat{K}_*$. From (2.7) and (2.11), we see that $\hat{K}:\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})\rightarrow C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\hat{K}_*: C^\infty(\hat{X})\rightarrow\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$. Since $\hat{K}$, $\hat{K}_*$ are pseudodifferential operators, $\hat{K}(x,y)\in C^\infty(\hat{X}\times\hat{X}\setminus\operatorname{diag}(\hat{X}\times\hat{X}),\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X},\mathbb{C}))$, $\hat{K}_*(x,y)\in C^\infty(\hat{X}\times\hat{X}\setminus\operatorname{diag}(\hat{X}\times\hat{X}),\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C},\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$. The following kernel estimates for $\hat{K}(x,y)$ and $\hat{K}_*(x,y)$ are also well-known (see Christ [6])

Theorem 2.9. *Let $k,l\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then, there is a constant $C_{k,l}>0$ such that for all $(x,y)\in\hat{X}\times\hat{X}$, $x\neq y$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|(\nabla^k_b)_x(\nabla^l_b)_y\hat{K}(x,y)\right|\leq C_{k,l}\vartheta(x,y)^{-3-k-l},\\&\left|(\nabla^k_b)_x(\nabla^l_b)_y\hat{K}_*(x,y)\right|\leq C_{k,l}\vartheta(x,y)^{-3-k-l}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

3. CANCELLATION PROPERTIES FOR $\hat{N}$ AND $\hat{\Pi}$

In this section, we will use the same notations as in section 2. We know already that our $\hat{N}$, $\hat{\Pi}$, $\hat{K}$ and $\hat{K}_*$ operators satisfy the kernel estimates (2.9) and (2.12). This was not quite enough to prove our desired theorem. We needed slightly more-something that is usually called a cancellation property for singular integrals. The main references of this section are Christ [5], [6] and Koenig [11].

We introduce some notations first. Let $U\subset\hat{X}$ be a local coordinate patch. On U, let $\hat{Z}^1,\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}},\hat{\theta}$ be the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}T^*\hat{X}$ which is dual to $\hat{Z}_1,\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}},-\hat{T}$. For $u\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(U)$, we write $u=\widetilde{u}\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}$ and we define $\nabla^k_b u:=(\nabla^k_b\widetilde{u})\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}$, $\left\|\nabla^k_b u\right\|_{L^\infty(U)}:=\left\|\nabla^k_b\widetilde{u}\right\|_{L^\infty(U)}$.

For $r > 0$, r small, put $B(x,r) := \Big\{y \in \hat{X};\, \vartheta(x,y) < r\Big\}$. Following Koenig (see Definition 5.8 in [11]), we make the following definition

Definition 3.1. A bump function (or $(0,1)$ form) on $B(x,r)$ is a smooth function (or $(0,1)$ form) supported in $B(x,r)$. A bump function (or $(0,1)$ form) $\phi \in C^\infty_0(B(x,r))$ is a normalized bump function (or $(0,1)$ form) if

$$\Big\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b\phi\Big\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{-k} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $k \geq 0$, where $C_k > 0$ is a constant independent of r.

Usually we only require the above derivative estimate to be satisfied for all $0 \leq k \leq N$ for some large integer N. In that case, we say that ϕ is a normalized bump function of order N in $B(x,r)$.

The first goal of this section is to establish the following cancellation properties for $\hat{\Pi}$, $\hat{\Pi}_1$, $\hat{K}$, $\hat{K}_*$ and $\hat{N}$

Theorem 3.2. *Let ϕ be a normalized bump function (or $(0,1)$ form) in the ball $B(x,r)$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C_k > 0$ independent of r and x such that*

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b\hat{\Pi}\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{-k}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b\hat{\Pi}_1\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{-k}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{1-k}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b\hat{K}_*\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{1-k}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b\hat{N}\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{2-k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $U \subset \hat{X}$ be an open set. For $f \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, we denote $\|f\|^2_{L^2(U)} := (1_U f \mid f)_{\hat{m}}$, where $1_U(x) = 1$ if $x \in U$, $1_U(x) = 0$ if $x \notin U$. Similarly, for $g \in \Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$, we denote $\|g\|^2_{L^2(U)} := (1_U g \mid g)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$. The key of the proof of Theorem 3.2 is the following L^2 estimate, which can be proved by microlocalization and simple integration by parts:

Proposition 3.3. *If $\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b v = u$ on $B(x,2r)$, where $v \in \Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$, $u \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is a constant $C_k > 0$ independent of r and x such that*

$$\begin{split}&\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b u\|_{L^2(B(x,r))}\\ &\quad\leq C_k(\|\hat{\nabla}^{k-1}_b\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))} + r^{-k}\|u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))} + r^{-(k+1)}\|v\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}).\end{split} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We first prove (3.2). Let ϕ be a normalized bump function in $B(x,r)$. This is the same as showing $\|\hat{\nabla}^k_b(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq C_k r^{-k}$. Let v be such that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}^*_b v = (I-\hat{\Pi})\phi$, with v orthogonal to the kernel of $\hat{\bar{\partial}}_b^*$. Then by (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^2(B(x,r))}\\ &\leq C_k(\|\hat{\nabla}_b^{k-1}\hat{\bar{\partial}}_b\phi\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}+r^{-k}\|(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}\\ &\quad+r^{-(k+1)}\|v\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}).\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side is bounded by

$$C_k r^{-k}|B(x,2r)|^{1/2}=C_k r^{2-k}.$$

In the second term, we estimate $\|(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}$ trivially by $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}\leq C|B(x,r)|^{1/2}=Cr^2$ (recall ϕ is normalized in $B(x,r)$), so that the second term is bounded by $C_k r^{2-k}$ as well. In the last term, we estimate using Poincaré-type inequality (see Corollary 11.5* of Christ [5]):

$$\|v\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}\leq Cr\|(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}\leq Cr\|\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}\leq Crr^2.$$

Thus altogether,

$$\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^2(B(x,r))}\leq C_k r^{2-k},$$

and since this holds for all k, by Sobolev embedding,

$$\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k(I-\hat{\Pi})\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))}\leq C_k r^{-k}$$

as desired. (3.2) follows.

Note that the above proof of (3.2) is very similar to the proof of the kernel estimate for $\hat{\Pi}$ in Christ [5]. A similar proof establishes (3.3).

Now, we prove (3.4) and (3.5). The proof mimics the proof of the kernel estimate of $\hat{K}$ in Christ [6]. Let ϕ be a normalized bump $(0,1)$ form in the ball $B(x,r)$. Let

$$u=(I-\hat{\Pi})(\psi\hat{K}\phi),$$

where $\psi\equiv 1$ on $B(x,2r)$, and is a normalized bump function on $B(x,4r)$. We apply estimate (3.7) for this u. On $B(x,2r)$, $\hat{\bar{\partial}}_b u=(I-\hat{\Pi}_1)\phi$, since ψ is identically 1 there. So the first term on the right hand side of (3.7) is bounded by $Cr^{1-k}|B(x,2r)|^{1/2}=Cr^{1-k}r^2$, by the cancellation property (3.3) we just proved above. On the other hand, by Proposition B of Christ [6], since u is orthogonal to the kernel of $\hat{\bar{\partial}}_b$,

$$\|u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}\leq Cr\|\hat{\bar{\partial}}_b u\|_{L^2(\hat{X})},$$

which implies

$$\|u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}\leq Cr\left(\|\psi(I-\hat{\Pi}_1)\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}+\|(\hat{\nabla}_b\psi)\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}\right).$$

But the first term in the bracket is bounded by $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}\leq C|B(x,r)|^{1/2}=Cr^2$, and the second term is bounded by Cr^2 by the kernel estimates on $\hat{K}$ (note ϕ is supported on $B(x,r)$, while $\hat{\nabla}_b\psi$ is supported in an annulus $B(x,4r)\setminus B(x,2r)$.) This in turn implies

$$\|u\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))}\leq Crr^2,$$

and the first term on the right hand side of (3.7) is bounded by $Cr^{1-k}r^2$. Finally, let v be such that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^* v = u$. Then

$$\|v\|_{L^2(B(x,2r))} \leq Cr\|u\|_{L^2(\hat{X})} \leq Cr\|\psi\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})} \leq Cr^2\|\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})} \leq Cr^2r^2.$$

(The first and the fourth inequality are both applications of Proposition B of Christ [6] again.) Thus altogether, $\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k u\|_{L^2(B(x,r))} \leq Cr^{1-k}r^2$ for all k, and by Sobolev embedding, this implies

$$\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k u\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq Cr^{1-k}$$

for all k.

Remember we want the same estimate for $\hat{K}\phi$ in place of u, so as to prove (3.4). But $\hat{K}\phi - u$ can be computed on $B(x,r)$ fairly easily. In fact, since $\hat{K}\phi$ is orthogonal to the kernel of $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$, we have $\hat{K}\phi = (I-\hat{\Pi})\hat{K}\phi$. So

$$\hat{K}\phi - u = (I-\hat{\Pi})(1-\psi)\hat{K}\phi.$$

Since $\psi \equiv 1$ on $B(x,2r)$, we have

$$\hat{K}\phi - u = -\hat{\Pi}(1-\psi)\hat{K}\phi \quad \text{on } B(x,r).$$

It follows that for $y \in B(x,r)$,

$$\hat{\nabla}_b^k(\hat{K}\phi - u)(y) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^j r \leq \vartheta(z,x) \leq 2^{j+1}r} (\hat{\nabla}_b)_y^k \hat{\Pi}(y,z)(1-\psi)(z)\hat{K}\phi(z)dz,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k(\hat{K}\phi - u)\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))}\\ &\quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2^j r)^{-2-k}\|\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^2(B(x,2^{j+1}r))} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2^j r)^{-2-k}(2^j r)\|\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}.\end{aligned}$$

(The last inequality is Proposition B of Christ [6].) By estimating the term $\|\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})}$ by $\|(I-\hat{\Pi}_1)\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\hat{X})} \leq Cr^2$, we get

$$\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k(\hat{K}\phi - u)\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq Cr^{-2-k}rr^2 = Cr^{1-k}.$$

By combining with the previous estimate on $\hat{\nabla}_b^k u$, we get

$$\|\hat{\nabla}_b^k\hat{K}\phi\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \leq Cr^{1-k},$$

as desired. (3.4) follows then. A similar argument proves (3.5).

Finally, to conclude (3.6), recall that $\hat{N} = \hat{K}\hat{K}_*$. If ϕ is a normalized bump function on $B(x,r)$, let η be a normalized bump function on $B(x,4r)$ which equals 1 identically on $B(x,2r)$. Then

$$\hat{\nabla}_b^k\hat{N}\phi = \hat{\nabla}_b^k\hat{K}\eta\hat{K}_*\phi + \hat{\nabla}_b^k\hat{K}(1-\eta)\hat{K}_*\phi.$$

The first term can be estimated on $B(x,r)$ by using the fact that $r^{-1}\eta\hat{K}_*\phi$ is a normalized bump $(0,1)$ form on $B(x,4r)$. The second term can be estimated on $B(x,r)$ using the kernel estimates for $\hat{K}$, since $1-\eta$ is supported outside $B(x,4r)$. Altogether, that shows (3.6), and completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Let $f \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ and let $U \subset \hat{X}$ be an open set. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\hat{\nabla}_b^m} \left\| \hat{\nabla}_b^m f \right\|_{L^\infty(U)} \\ &:= \sup\{ |L_1 \cdots L_m f(x)| \,;\, x \in U, L_j \in C^\infty(\hat{X}, T^{1,0}\hat{X} \oplus T^{0,1}\hat{X}), \\ &\quad \langle\, L_j \,|\, L_j \,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}} \leq 1, j = 1, \ldots, m \}.\end{aligned}$$

The constant C_k in Theorem 3.2 may dependent on ϕ. Moreover, from the proof of Theorem 3.2, it is straightforward to see that

Corollary 3.4. *Let ϕ be a normalized bump function in the ball $B(x,r)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There is a constant $\widetilde{C}_k > 0$ independent of x, r and ϕ such that*
(3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N} \phi \right\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} &\leq r^4 \widetilde{C}_k \big(\| \phi \|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} + \sum_{m=1}^{k+2} \sup_{\hat{\nabla}_b^m} \left\| \hat{\nabla}_b^m \phi \right\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \big), \\ \left\| \hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{\Pi} \phi \right\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} &\leq r^4 \widetilde{C}_k \big(\| \phi \|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} + \sum_{m=1}^{k+4} \sup_{\hat{\nabla}_b^m} \left\| \hat{\nabla}_b^m \phi \right\|_{L^\infty(B(x,r))} \big).\end{aligned}$$

Let $g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$, $0 < \delta < 2$. It is obviously that g is well-defined as an element in $\mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. In view of (2.5), we see that the operators $\hat{N} g$ and $\hat{\Pi} g$ are well-defined as elements in $\mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. Moreover, from Theorem 3.2 and the kernel estimates of $\hat{\Pi}$ and $\hat{N}$, we can prove

Theorem 3.5. *Fix $0 < \delta < 2$. Let $g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$. Then, $\hat{N} g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta})$, $\hat{\Pi} g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$. Moreover, the operators*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{N} &: \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}), \\ \hat{\Pi} &: \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})\end{aligned}$$

are continuous.

Proof. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and fix a point $x_0 \neq p$, x_0 is in some small neighbourhood W of p, where (2.8) hold in W. Let $r = \frac{1}{2}\vartheta(x_0, p)/2$, and η be a normalized bump function supported in $B(x_0, r)$, with $\eta = 1$ on $B(x_0, r/2)$. Then,

$$|\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N} g(x_0)| \leq |\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N}(\eta g)(x_0)| + |\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N}((1-\eta) g)(x_0)|$$

and $r^{4-\delta}(\eta g)(x)$ is a normalized bump function on $B(x_0, r)$. So by (3.6), we see that

$$|\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N}(\eta g)(x_0)| \leq C_k r^{-2+\delta-k},$$

where $C_k > 0$ is a constant independent of x_0 and r. By using (2.9), $\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N}((1-\eta) g)(x_0)$ can be estimated by writing out the integrals directly:

$$\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N}((1-\eta) g)(x_0) = \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N})(x_0, y)(1-\eta)(y) g(y) \hat{m}(y),$$

which can be split into 3 pieces. The first is over where $\vartheta(y,p) \leq r$; this piece is dominated by

$$\int_{\vartheta(y,p) < r} r^{-2-k} \vartheta(y,p)^{-4+\delta} \hat{m}(y) \leq D_k r^{-2+\delta-k},$$

where $D_k > 0$ is a constant independent of x_0 and r. The second piece is over where $r \leq \vartheta(y,p) \leq 100r$; this piece is bounded by

$$\int_{\vartheta(y,p)<100r} r^{-2-k} r^{-4+\delta} \hat{m}(y) \leq E_k r^{-2+\delta-k},$$

where $E_k > 0$ is a constant independent of x_0 and r. (Note we have cut off the piece near x_0 already with $1-\eta$.) The last piece is over where $\vartheta(y,p) > 100r$; this piece is bounded by

$$\int_{\vartheta(y,p)>100r} \vartheta(y,p)^{-2-k} \vartheta(y,p)^{-4+\delta} \hat{m}(y) \leq F_k r^{-2+\delta-k},$$

where $F_k > 0$ is a constant independent of x_0 and r. Altogether,

$$|\hat{\nabla}_b^k \hat{N} g(x_0)| \leq \widetilde{C}_k r^{-2+\delta-k}$$

as desired, where $\widetilde{C}_k > 0$ is a constant independent of x_0 and r. Asimilar argument proves that $\hat{\Pi} g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$.

From (3.8) and the proof above, we conclude that the operators

$$\hat{N} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}),$$
$$\hat{\Pi} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$$

are continuous. □

Put $A = \hat{N}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^* : \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. From (2.9), we see that for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have,

$$\left|(\nabla_b^k)_x (\nabla_b^l)_y A(x,y)\right| \leq C_{k,l} \vartheta(x,y)^{-3-k-l}, \forall (x,y) \in \hat{X} \times \hat{X}, \quad x \neq y, \tag{3.9}$$

where $C_{k,l} > 0$ is a constant. Moreover, in view of Lemma 2.7, we know that $A : L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m}, \hat{\theta}) \to L^2(\hat{m})$ is continuous. By using the kernel estimates (3.9), it is easy to see that

Lemma 3.6. *Let u be a bounded measurable $(0,1)$ form. There is a constant $C > 0$ independent of u such that $\|Au\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}$.*

Proof. From (3.9), we see that for any $x \in X$, $(Au)(x)$ is dominated by

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \int_{\hat{X}} \vartheta(x,y)^{-3} \hat{m}(y) \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of u. The lemma follows. □

Let $h \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$. Put $B = Ah : \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. From Theorem 3.5, we see that

$$B : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}) \text{ is continuous, } \forall 0 < \delta < 2. \tag{3.10}$$

We assume that $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}$ is small enough so that

(3.11)

$$\|Bu\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \quad \text{for any bounded measurable function } u,$$

$$\|Bu\|_{\hat{m}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{\hat{m}}, \forall u \in L^2(\hat{m}).$$

From (3.11), we see that $I-B$ has a continuous inverse $(I-B)^{-1}: L^2(\hat{m}) \to L^2(\hat{m})$. Note that $\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}) \subset L^2(\hat{m})$. From this observation and using the formula that $(I-B)^{-1} = I + \Big((I-B)^{-1}\Big)B$, $(I-B)^{-1}$ can be continuously extended to:

$$(I-B)^{-1} : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}), \quad \text{for every } 0<\delta<2,$$

and

$$(I-B)^{-1} - I : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\hat{m}) \text{ is continuous, for every } 0<\delta<2.$$

Moreover, we have

Theorem 3.7. *With the assumptions and notations above,*

$$(I-B)^{-1} : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$$

is continuous, for every $0<\delta<2$.

The key of the proof is the following

Theorem 3.8. *Fix* $0<\delta<2$. *Let* $u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$. *Then,*

$$\left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} < \infty \tag{3.12}$$

and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *we have*

$$\left\|\hat{\rho}^{k-1}\hat{\nabla}_b^k B^{4+k}u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \leq C_k \left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} < \infty, \tag{3.13}$$

where $C_k > 0$ *is a constant independent of* u.

Proof. From (3.10), we see that $Bu \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}) \subset \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-3})$ and $B^2u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$. Thus, hB^2u is a bounded function and from Lemma 3.6, we see that $B^3u = A(hB^2u)$ is a bounded function. (3.12) follows.

We could prove (3.13) by induction over k. But for the better understanding, we prove (3.13) for $k=1$ and $k=2$ carefully and we will see that the method works well for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $x_0 \in X$. We have

$$(\hat{\nabla}_b B^5 u)(x_0) = \int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x A(x_0,y)h(y)A(y,z)h(z)(B^3u)(z)\hat{m}(y)\hat{m}(z) = \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I} &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x A(x_0,y)(h(y)-h(x_0))A(y,z)h(z)(B^3u)(z)\hat{m}(y)\hat{m}(z), \\ \mathrm{II} &= \int h(x_0)(\hat{\nabla}_b)_x A(x_0,y)A(y,z)h(z)(B^3u)(z)\hat{m}(y)\hat{m}(z). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We first estimate I. Since $h \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$, we have $|h(y)-h(x_0)| \leq C\vartheta(y,x_0)$, where $C>0$ is a constant. Combining this with (3.9), we conclude that

$$\left|(\hat{\nabla}_b)_x A(x_0,y)(h(y)-h(x_0))\right| \leq C_1\vartheta(x_0,y)^{-3},$$

where $C_1>0$ is a constant independent of x_0. Thus,

$$|\mathrm{I}| \leq C_1 \int \vartheta(x_0,y)^{-3}\left|(B^4u)(y)\right|\hat{m}(y) \leq \widetilde{C}_1\left\|B^4u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \leq \hat{C}_1\left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}, \tag{3.16}$$

where $C_1 > 0$, $\widetilde{C}_1 > 0$, $\hat{C}_1 > 0$ are constants independent of x_0 and u.

Put $D(x,z) = \int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x A(x,y)A(y,z)\hat{m}(y)$. We claim that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$|(\nabla_b^m)_x D(x,z)| \leq C_m \vartheta(x,z)^{-3-m}, \forall (x,z) \in \hat{X} \times \hat{X}, \quad x \neq z, \tag{3.17}$$

where $C_m > 0$ is a constant.

Proof of the claim. Fix $(x,z) \in \hat{X} \times \hat{X}$ and put $r := \vartheta(x,z)$. Let η be a normalized bump function supported in $B(x, \frac{r}{2})$ with $\eta = 1$ on $B(x, \frac{r}{4})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_b^m)_x D(x,z) &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x^{m+1} A(x,y)\eta(y)A(y,z)\hat{m}(y) \\ &+ \int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x^{m+1} A(x,y)(1-\eta(y))A(y,z)\hat{m}(y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.9), we see that the function $y \to r^3\eta(y)A(y,z)$ is a normalized bump function on $B(x, \frac{r}{2})$. From the cancellation property for $\hat{N}$ (see Theorem 3.2), we conclude that

$$\left| \int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x^{m+1} A(x,y)\eta(y)A(y,z)\hat{m}(y) \right| \leq C r^{-3-m}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of x, z and r. The integral

$$\int (\hat{\nabla}_b)_x^{m+1} A(x,y)(1-\eta(y))A(y,z)\hat{m}(y)$$

can be split into 2 pieces. The first is over where $\frac{r}{4} \leq \vartheta(y,x) \leq 100r$; this piece is bounded by

$$\int_{\frac{r}{4} \leq \vartheta(y,x) \leq 100r} r^{-4-m} \vartheta(y,z)^{-3} \hat{m}(y) \leq \widetilde{C} r^{-3-m}, \tag{3.20}$$

where $\widetilde{C} > 0$ is a constant independent of x, z and r. The second piece is over where $\vartheta(y,x) > 100r$; this piece is bounded by

$$\int_{\vartheta(y,x) > 100r} \vartheta(x,y)^{-4-m} \vartheta(x,y)^{-3} \hat{m}(y) \leq \hat{C} r^{-3-m}, \tag{3.21}$$

where $\hat{C} > 0$ is a constant independent of x, z and r. From (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain (3.17). □

Now, we are ready to estimate II. From (3.17), we have

$$|\mathrm{II}| \leq C_2 \int \vartheta(x_0,z)^{-3} \left| h(z)(B^3 u)(z) \right| \hat{m}(z) \leq \widetilde{C}_2 \left\| B^3 u \right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $C_2 > 0$, $\widetilde{C}_2 > 0$ are constants independent of x_0 and u. From (3.16) and (3.22), we have proved (3.13) for $k = 1$.

Now, we prove (3.13) for $k = 2$. Since $\hat{X}$ is compact, there is a $d_0 > 0$ such that for every $x \in \hat{X}$, $B(x, d_0)$ is in a local coordinate patch. Fix $x_0 \in X$.

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, d_0))$ with $\chi = 1$ on $B(x_0, \frac{d_0}{2})$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\hat{\nabla}_b^2 B^6 u)(x_0)\\
&= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)h(y)A(y, z)h(z)A(z, w)h(w)(B^3 u)(w)\hat{m}(y)\hat{m}(z)\hat{m}(w)\\
&= \mathrm{V} + \mathrm{V}',
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.23}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)h(y)\chi(y)(B^5 u)(y)\hat{m}(y),\\
\mathrm{V}' &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)h(y)(1 - \chi(y))(B^5 u)(y)\hat{m}(y).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

Since $\chi = 1$ on $B(x_0, \frac{d_0}{2})$, from (3.9), it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathrm{V}'\right| &\leq C \int_{\vartheta(y,x_0) \geq \frac{d_0}{2}} \vartheta(x_0, y)^{-5} \left|(B^5 u)(y)\right| \hat{m}(y)\\
&\leq \widetilde{C} d_0^{-5} \left\|B^5 u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \leq \hat{C} \left\|B^3 u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.25}
$$

where $C > 0$, $\widetilde{C} > 0$, $\hat{C} > 0$ are constants independent of x_0 and u. We only need to estimate V. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ or $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ denote local coordinates on $B(x_0, d_0)$. By using a local frame, we identify h with a smooth function in $B(x_0, d_0)$. Put $x_0 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and set $h'(x_0)(y - x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(x_0)(y_j - \alpha_j)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} &= \mathrm{V}_1 + \mathrm{V}_2,\\
\mathrm{V}_1 &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)(h(y) - h(x_0) - h'(x_0)(y - x_0))\chi(y)(B^5 u)(y)\hat{m}(y),\\
\mathrm{V}_2 &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)(h(x_0) + h'(x_0)(y - x_0))\chi(y)(B^5 u)(y)\hat{m}(y).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.26}
$$

Put $r := \vartheta(x_0, p)$. We first estimate V_1. Let η be a normalized bump function supported in $B(x_0, \frac{r}{2})$ with $\eta = 1$ on $B(x_0, \frac{r}{4})$. We split V_1 into 2 pieces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_1 &= \mathrm{V}_1' + \mathrm{V}_1'',\\
\mathrm{V}_1' &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)(h(y) - h(x_0) - h'(x_0)(y - x_0)) \times\\
&\qquad \eta(y)\chi(y)(B^5 u)(y)\hat{m}(y),\\
\mathrm{V}_1'' &= \int (\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_x A(x_0, y)(h(y) - h(x_0) - h'(x_0)(y - x_0)) \times\\
&\qquad (1 - \eta(y))\chi(y)(B^5 u)(y)\hat{m}(y).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.27}
$$

Since $h \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$, we have

$$
\left|(h(y) - h(x_0) - h'(x_0)(y - x_0))\eta(y)\right| \leq C r^{-1} \vartheta(x_0, y)^2.
$$

From this observation and (3.9), we have

(3.28)

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathrm{V}_1'\right| &\leq C_1\frac{1}{r}\int \vartheta(x_0,y)^{-3}\left|(B^5u)(y)\right|\hat{m}(y)\leq \widetilde{C}_1\hat{\rho}(x_0)^{-1}\left\|B^5u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}\\&\leq \hat{C}_1\hat{\rho}(x_0)^{-1}\left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})},\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1>0$, $\widetilde{C}_1>0$, $\hat{C}_1>0$ are constants independent of x_0, r and u. Since $\eta=1$ on $B(x_0,\frac{r}{4})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathrm{V}_1''\right| &\leq C_2\left\|B^5u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}\int_{\vartheta(y,x)\geq\frac{r}{4}}\vartheta(x_0,y)^{-5}\hat{m}(y)\\&\leq \widetilde{C}_2\hat{\rho}(x_0)^{-1}\left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})},\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

where $C_2>0$, $\widetilde{C}_2>0$ are constants independent of x_0, r and u.

Now, we estimate V_2. Put

$$E(x,z)=\int(\hat{\nabla}_b^2)_xA(x,y)(h(x_0)+h'(x_0)(y-x_0))\chi(y)A(y,z)\hat{m}(y).$$

We can repeat the proof of (3.17) and conclude that for every $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$|(\nabla_b^m)_xE(x,z)|\leq C_m\vartheta(x,z)^{-4-m},\forall(x,z)\in\hat{X}\times\hat{X},\quad x\neq z,\tag{3.30}$$

where $C_m>0$ is a constant independent of x_0. Note that

$$\mathrm{V}_2=\int E(x_0,z)h(z)A(z,w)h(w)(B^3u)(w)\hat{m}(z)\hat{m}(w).$$

We split V_2 into 2 pieces:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{V}_2&=\mathrm{V}_2'+\mathrm{V}_2'',\\\mathrm{V}_2'&=\int E(x_0,z)(h(z)-h(x_0))A(z,w)h(w)(B^3u)(w)\hat{m}(z)\hat{m}(w),\\\mathrm{V}_2''&=\int E(x_0,z)h(x_0)A(z,w)h(w)(B^3u)(w)\hat{m}(z)\hat{m}(w).\end{aligned}\tag{3.31}$$

Since $h\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho},\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C},\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$, we have $|h(z)-h(x_0)|\leq C\vartheta(z,x_0)$, where $C>0$ is a constant. Combining this with (3.30), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathrm{V}_2'\right|&\leq C_3\int\vartheta(x_0,z)^{-3}\left|(B^4u)(z)\right|\hat{m}(z)\leq\widetilde{C}_3\left\|B^4u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})}\\&\leq\hat{C}_3\left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})},\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

where $C_3>0$, $\widetilde{C}_3>0$ and $\hat{C}_3>0$ are constants independent of x_0 and u. To estimate V_2'', put $F(x,w)=\int E(x,z)A(z,w)\hat{m}(z)$. We can repeat the proof of (3.17) and conclude that for every $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\left|(\hat{\nabla}_b^m)_xE(x,w)\right|\leq C_m\vartheta(x,w)^{-3-m},\forall(x,w)\in\hat{X}\times\hat{X},\quad x\neq w,$$

where $C_m>0$ is a constant independent of x_0. From this, we have

$$\left|\mathrm{V}_2''\right|\leq C_0\int\vartheta(x_0,w)^{-3}\left|(B^3u)(w)\right|\hat{m}(w)\leq\widetilde{C}_0\left\|B^3u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})},\tag{3.33}$$

where $C_0>0$, $\widetilde{C}_0>0$ are constants independent of x_0 and u.

From (3.25), (3.28), (3.29), (3.32) and (3.33), we have proved (3.13) for $k = 2$. Continuing in this way, we get (3.13). ☐

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Fix $0 < \delta < 2$ and let $u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$. We have

$$(I - B)^{-1}u = u + Bu + B^2u + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} B^j u. \tag{3.34}$$

From (3.34), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta}(I - B)^{-1}u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \\
&\leq \left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta}u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta}Bu\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta}B^2u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty}\left\|B^j u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2}\left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta}B^j u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} 2^{3-j}\left\|B^3 u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, from (3.34), (3.11) and (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta+k}\hat{\nabla}_b^k(I - B)^{-1}u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{3+k}\left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta+k}\hat{\nabla}_b^k B^j u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\hat{\rho}^{k-1}\hat{\nabla}_b^k B^{4+k+j}u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{3+k}\left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta+k}\hat{\nabla}_b^k B^j u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|B^{3+j}u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{3+k}\left\|\hat{\rho}^{4-\delta+k}\hat{\nabla}_b^k B^j u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j}\left\|B^3 u\right\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

From (3.35) and (3.36), we conclude that $(I-B)^{-1} : \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$ is continuous. Theorem 3.7 follows. ☐

4. The CR function ψ

The goal of this section is to show that there exists a function $\psi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ such that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\psi = 0$ and near p, we have $\psi = |z|^2 + it + R$, $R = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4)$ (see Theorem 4.4). The function ψ plays an important role in this work.

Until further notice, we work in some small neighbourhood of p. Put

$$\overline{Z}_1^0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} + iz\frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

First, we need

Lemma 4.1. *For any monomial $a\overline{z}^\alpha z^\beta t^\gamma$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \geq 3$, we can find a polynomial $f = \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j \overline{z}^{\alpha_j} z^{\beta_j} t^{\gamma_j}$, $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$d_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, such that $\overline{Z}_1^0 f = a z^{\alpha} \overline{z}^{\beta} t^{\gamma}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_j = 0 \textit{ if } \alpha_j + \beta_j + 2\gamma_j \neq \alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 1, \\
(4.1) \qquad & |\mathrm{Re}\, f(z,t)| \leq c\, |z|^2 \left(|z| + |t|\right) \textit{ in a neighbourhood of } p, \\
& \textit{where } c > 0 \textit{ is a constant.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction over γ. First we assume that $\gamma = 0$. Given a monomial $a\overline{z}^{\alpha} z^{\beta}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\alpha + \beta \geq 3$. Put $f = \frac{a}{\alpha+1} \overline{z}^{\alpha+1} z^{\beta}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{Z}_1^0 f = a\overline{z}^{\alpha} z^{\beta}$ and (4.1) hold. Let $\gamma \geq 1$. Given a monomial $a\overline{z}^{\alpha} z^{\beta} t^{\gamma}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma \geq 3$. First, we assume that $\alpha = \beta = 0$. By the induction assumption, we can find $f_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j \overline{z}^{\alpha_j} z^{\beta_j} t^{\gamma_j}$, $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $d_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\overline{Z}_1^0 f_1 = -ia\gamma\, |z|^2\, t^{\gamma-1} + i\overline{a}\gamma z^2 t^{\gamma-1}$$

and (4.1) hold. Put

$$f = a\overline{z}t^{r} - \overline{a}zt^{\gamma} + f_1.$$

It is not difficult to check that $\overline{Z}_1^0 f = at^{\gamma}$ and (4.1) hold. Now, we assume that $\alpha + \beta \geq 1$. By the induction assumption, we can find $f_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j \overline{z}^{\alpha_j} z^{\beta_j} t^{\gamma_j}$, $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $d_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\overline{Z}_1^0 f_1 = -i \frac{a\gamma}{\alpha+1} \overline{z}^{\alpha+1} z^{\beta+1} t^{\gamma-1}$$

and (4.1) hold. Put

$$f = \frac{a}{\alpha+1} \overline{z}^{\alpha+1} z^{\beta} t^{\gamma} + f_1.$$

It is not difficult to check that $\overline{Z}_1^0 f = a\overline{z}^{\alpha} z^{\beta} t^{\gamma}$ and (4.1) hold.

The lemma follws. □

We say that g is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ if g is the finite sum

$$g = \sum_{\alpha+\beta+2\gamma=d, \alpha,\beta,\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0} \overline{z}^{\alpha} z^{\beta} t^{\gamma}.$$

We need

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\hat{X})$ such that* $\mathrm{Re}\,\varphi \geq 0$ *on $\hat{X}$, $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \varphi$ vanishes to infinite order at p and near p, we have*

$$\varphi(z,t) = (2\pi)(|z|^2 + it) + S, \quad S = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^6).$$

Proof. We assume that the local coordinates (z,t) defined on a small open set $W \subset X$ of p. From Lemma 4.1 and (1.10), it is not difficult to see that we can find $f_j(z,t)$, $j = 6, 7, \dots$, where for each j, f_j is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of degree j, such that

$$\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\Big((2\pi)(|z|^2 + it) + \sum_{j=6}^{m} f_j(z,t)\Big) \in \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^{m+3}), \quad m = 6, 7, \dots, \tag{4.2}$$

and for each $j = 6, 7, \dots$,

$$|\mathrm{Re}\, f_j(z,t)| \leq c_j\, |z|^2 \left(|z| + |t|\right) \text{ on } W_j \subset W,\ c_j > 0 \text{ is a constant,} \tag{4.3}$$

where W_j is an open set, for each $j = 6, 7, \ldots$. Take $\phi(z,t) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}, \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ so that $\phi(z,t) = 1$ if $\left|z^2\right| + |t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi(z,t) = 0$ if $\left|z^2\right| + |t| \geq 1$. For each $j = 6, 7, \ldots$, take $\epsilon_j > 0$ be a small constant so that $\operatorname{Supp}\phi(\frac{z}{\epsilon_j}, \frac{t}{\epsilon_j^2}) \subset W_j$,

$$\phi(\frac{z}{\epsilon_j}, \frac{t}{\epsilon_j^2})\operatorname{Re} f_j(z,t) < 2^{-j}\left|z\right|^2 \tag{4.4}$$

and for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma < j$, we have

$$\sup\left\{\left|\partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_t^\gamma \big(\phi(\frac{z}{\epsilon_j}, \frac{t}{\epsilon_j^2}) f_j(z,t)\big)\right|;\ (z,t) \in \operatorname{Supp}\phi(\frac{z}{\epsilon_j}, \frac{t}{\epsilon_j^2})\right\} < 2^{-j}. \tag{4.5}$$

On W, we put

$$\varphi_1(z,t) = (2\pi)(|z|^2 + it) + \sum_{j=6}^{\infty}\phi(\frac{z}{\epsilon_j}, \frac{t}{\epsilon_j^2}) f_j(z,t).$$

From (4.5), we can check that $\varphi_1(z,t)$ is well-defined as a smooth function on W and for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma = d$, $d \geq 6$, we have

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_t^\gamma \varphi_1|_{(0,0)} = \partial_{\overline{z}}^\alpha \partial_z^\beta \partial_t^\gamma f_d|_{(0,0)}.$$

Combining this with (4.2), we conclude that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\varphi_1$ vanishes to infinite order at p. Moreover, from (4.4), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\varphi_1(z,t) \geq \left|z^2\right|(2\pi - \sum_{j=6}^{\infty}2^{-j}) > \frac{1}{2}\left|z\right|^2.$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Re}\varphi_1 \geq 0$ on W. Take $\chi \in C_0^\infty(W)$, $\chi \geq 0$ and $\chi = 1$ near p and put $\varphi = \chi\varphi_1 \in C^\infty(X)$. Then, φ satisfies the claim of this proposition. The proposition follows. $\square$

Let W be a small neighbourhood of p such that $|\varphi(z,t)| \geq \hat{\rho}(z,t)^2$ on W, where φ is as in Proposition 4.2. Take $\chi \in C_0^\infty(W, \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ so that $\chi = 1$ in some small neighbourhood of p. Put

$$\tau := \frac{\chi}{\varphi}.$$

It is easy to check that τ is well-defined as an element in $\mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$. Since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\varphi$ vanishes to infinite order at p, we have $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\tau \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$. Thus,

$$\hat{\Box}_b\tau \in C^\infty(\hat{X}).$$

Combining this with (2.7), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\tau = \hat{\Pi}\tau + \hat{N}\hat{\Box}_b\tau = \hat{\Pi}\tau - F, \tag{4.6}$$

where $F \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$. Thus,

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b(\tau + F) = 0 \ \text{ on } \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}). \tag{4.7}$$

Take $C_0 > 0$ be a large constant so that $\operatorname{Re}F + C_0 > 0$ on $\hat{X}$. Since $\operatorname{Re}\varphi \geq 0$, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tau + F + C_0) > 0 \ \text{ on } \hat{X}. \tag{4.8}$$

Put

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\tau + F + C_0}.$$

From (4.8), we know that $\tau + F + C_0 \neq 0$ on X. Since $\tau + F + C_0 \in C^\infty(X)$, we conclude that $\psi \in C^\infty(X)$. Now, we study the behaviour of ψ near p. Let $W' \Subset W$ be a small neighbourhood of p such that $\chi = 1$ on W' and $|\varphi(F + C_0)| < 1$ on W'. Then, on W',

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\tau + F + C_0} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varphi} + F + C_0} = \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi(F + C_0)} \in C^\infty(W'). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus,

$$\psi \in C^\infty(\hat{X}). \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover, from (4.9), we can check that near p,

$$\psi = (2\pi)(|z|^2 + it) + R, \quad R \in \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4). \tag{4.11}$$

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \hat{X}.$$

Proof. Put $h := \tau + F + C_0$ and take any $g \in \Omega_0^{0,1}(X)$. We have

$$(\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \psi \mid g)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = -(\overline{\partial}_b h \mid \overline{h}^{-2} g)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b h = 0$ in the sense of distribution. Thus, $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \psi = 0$ on X. Since $\psi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, we conclude that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \psi = 0$ on $\hat{X}$. The lemma follows. $\square$

From (4.8), (4.10), (4.11) and Lemma 4.3, we obtain the main result of this section

Theorem 4.4. *There is a smooth function $\psi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ such that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b \psi = 0$ on $\hat{X}$, $\psi \neq 0$ on X and near p, we have*

$$\psi(z,t) = (2\pi)(|z|^2 + it) + R, \quad R = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^4). \tag{4.13}$$

In the study of the positive p-mass theorem (see [3]), one needs to find a special CR function of specific growth rate on $\hat{X}$. More precisely, in [3], one needs to find a CR function $g \in C^\infty(X)$ with

$$g = \frac{z}{|z|^2 + it} + g_1$$

near p, where $g_1 \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^0)$. By using the proof of Theorem 4.4, we can construct a such CR function

Theorem 4.5. *There is a function $g \in C^\infty(X) \bigcap \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X})$ such that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b g = 0$ and*

$$g = \frac{1}{\psi}(z + r),$$

where ψ is as in Theorem 4.4 and $r \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $r = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^2)$.

Proof. We can repeat the proof of Proposition 4.2 with minor change and conclude that there is a function $\widetilde{r} \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ with $\widetilde{r} = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^5)$ such that $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b(z + \hat{r})$ vanishes to infinite order at p. Put

$$\widetilde{g} = \frac{1}{\psi}(z + \widetilde{r}).$$

Since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b(z + \widetilde{r})$ vanishes to infinite order at p and $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\psi = 0$, we have

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\widetilde{g} \in C^\infty(\hat{X}). \tag{4.14}$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\Box}_b\widetilde{g} \in C^\infty(\hat{X}).$$

Combining this with (2.7), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\widetilde{g} = \hat{\Pi}\widetilde{g} + \hat{N}\hat{\Box}_b\widetilde{g} = \hat{\Pi}\widetilde{g} - f,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$. Thus,

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b(\widetilde{g} + f) = 0 \ \text{ on } \mathscr{D}'(\hat{X}).$$

Put $g = \widetilde{g} + f$. Then $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b g$=0 and we can check that $g = \frac{1}{\psi}(z + \widetilde{r} + \psi f) = \frac{1}{\psi}(z + r)$, where $r = \widetilde{r} + \psi f \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $r = \varepsilon(\hat{\rho}^2)$. The theorem follows. $\Box$

5. The closed range property for $\Box_{b,1}$ and the relation between $\Box_{b,1}$ and $\widetilde{\Box}_b$

The goal of this section is to prove that $\Box_{b,1}$ (see (1.21)) has closed range and we will compare $\Box_{b,1}$ with another Laplacian $\widetilde{\Box}_b$.

Let ψ be as in Theorem 4.4. Put

$$\widetilde{m} := G_p^2\,|\psi|^2\,\hat{m} = G_p^2\,|\psi|^2\,\hat{\theta} \wedge d\hat{\theta}. \tag{5.1}$$

As in (1.8), let $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$, $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$ denote the L^2 inner products on $C^\infty(\hat{X})$ and $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$ with respect to $\widetilde{m}$ and $\widetilde{m}$, $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\hat{\theta}}$ respectively. We denote by $L^2(\widetilde{m})$, $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})$ the completions of $C^\infty(\hat{X})$, $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$ respectively. We extend the L^2 inner products $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$ to $L^2(\widetilde{m})$ and $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})$ respectively. We write $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{m}}$, $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$ to denote the corresponding norms. Note that

$$L^2(\widetilde{m}) = L^2(\hat{m}),\quad L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}) = L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat{m},\hat{\theta}). \tag{5.2}$$

It is easy to see that near p, we have

$$\frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}} = 1 + a(z,t),\quad a(z,t) \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^2). \tag{5.3}$$

We extend $\overline{\partial}_b$ to $L^2(\widetilde{m})$ by

$$\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b : \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b \subset L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}), \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b := \{u \in L^2(\widetilde{m}); \overline{\partial}_b u \in L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta})\}.$$

Here for $u \in L^2(\widetilde{m})$, $\overline{\partial}_b u$ is defined in the sense of distribution on X. Let

$$\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} : \Omega^{0,1}(X) \to C^\infty(X) \tag{5.5}$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$, $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$. Similarly, let

$$\widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} : \Omega^{0,1}(X) \to C^\infty(X) \tag{5.6}$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m}}$, $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} &= \frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}} \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} \frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}, \\ \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} &= \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} + g, \quad g \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}, \mathbb{C})). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

We need

Lemma 5.1. *We have* $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b = \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b$. *That is,* $\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b = \operatorname{Dom}\widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ *and* $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b u = \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b u$, *for all* $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b = \operatorname{Dom}\widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b$.

Proof. Let $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$. We claim that $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b u = \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b u$. By definition, there is a $h \in L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m}, \hat{\theta})$ such that

$$(h \mid \alpha)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} = (u \mid \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{\,*,f} \alpha)_{\widetilde{m}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}_0(X). \tag{5.8}$$

Note that $h = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b u$. From (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h \mid \delta)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} &= (h \mid \frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}}\delta)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} = (u \mid \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}(\frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}}\delta))_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} = (u \mid \frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}}\widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}\delta)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} \\ &= (u \mid \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}\delta)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}, \quad \forall \delta \in \Omega^{0,1}_0(X). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Take $l \in \Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X})$. Let

$$D_r := \Big\{ x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3;\ |x|^2 := |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2 < r \Big\}, \quad r > 0,$$

be a small ball. We identify D_r with a neighbourhood of p. Take $\chi \in C^\infty_0(D_r, \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$, $\chi = 1$ on $D_{\frac{r}{2}} := \Big\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3;\ |x|^2 < \frac{r}{2} \Big\}$. Take $\epsilon > 0$, ϵ small and put $l_\epsilon = l(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})) \in \Omega^{0,1}_0(X)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|l_\epsilon - l\|^2_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = \int |l|^2_{\hat{\theta}} \left|\chi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})\right|^2 \hat{m} \le C \int_{|x| \le \epsilon r} \hat{m} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0, \\ &\left\| \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}(l_\epsilon - l) \right\|^2_{\hat{m}} = \int \left| \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} l \right|^2_{\hat{m}} \left|\chi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})\right|^2 \hat{m} + \int |l|^2_{\hat{\theta}} \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon}(Z_1\chi)(\frac{x}{\epsilon}) \right|^2 \hat{m} \\ &\le \frac{C_1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{|x| \le \epsilon r} \hat{m} \to 0 \ \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ are constants independent of ϵ. We conclude that there exist $l_j \in \Omega^{0,1}_0(X)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \|l_j - l\|_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = 0, \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \widehat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}(l_j - l) \right\|_{\hat{m}} = 0.$$

Combining this with (5.9), we have

$$(h \mid l)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = \lim_{j\to\infty}(h \mid l_j)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} = \lim_{j\to\infty}(u \mid \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} l_j)_{\hat{m}} = (u \mid \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} l)_{\hat{m}}.$$

Thus, $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b u = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b u = h$.

We have proved that if $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ then $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b u = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b u$.

We can repeat the procedure above and conclude that if $v \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ then $v \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b v = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b v$. The lemma follows. □

Since $\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$ has closed range, $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ has closed range. Thus, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b u\right\|^2_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} \geq c\left\|u\right\|^2_{\widetilde{m}}, \quad \forall u \perp \operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b. \tag{5.10}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} : \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} \subset L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}) \tag{5.11}$$

denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ with respect to $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$. Let $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ denote the Gaffney extension of Kohn Laplacian given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b \\ &= \left\{s \in L^2(\widetilde{m});\, s \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b,\ \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b s \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

and $\widetilde{\Box}_b s = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b s$ for $s \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b$. We have

Theorem 5.2. *The operator*

$$\widetilde{\Box}_b : \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b \subset L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m})$$

has closed range.

Proof. Let $f \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b \bigcap (\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\Box}_b)^{\perp}$. It is not difficult to see that $\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\Box}_b = \operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$. Thus, $f \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b \bigcap (\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b)^{\perp}$. From this observation and (5.10), we have

$$\left\|\widetilde{\Box}_b f\right\|_{\widetilde{m}} \left\|f\right\|_{\widetilde{m}} \geq (\widetilde{\Box}_b f \mid f)_{\widetilde{m}} = (\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b f \mid \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b f)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} \geq c\left\|f\right\|^2_{\widetilde{m}},$$

where $c > 0$ is the constant as in (5.10). Thus,

$$\left\|\widetilde{\Box}_b f\right\|_{\widetilde{m}} \geq c\left\|f\right\|_{\widetilde{m}}, \quad \forall f \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b \bigcap (\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\Box}_b)^{\perp}, \tag{5.13}$$

where $c > 0$ is the constant as in (5.10). From (5.13), it is easy to see that $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ has closed range. The theorem follows. □

Let $L^2(m_1)$ and $L^2(m_1,\hat{\theta})$ be as in the discussion after (1.18). Note that

$$m_1 := \left|\psi\right|^{-2}\widetilde{m}. \tag{5.14}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&u \in L^2(m_1) \text{ if and only if } \psi^{-1}u \in L^2(\widetilde{m}),\\ &u \in L^2(m_1,\hat{\theta}) \text{ if and only if } \psi^{-1}u \in L^2(\widetilde{m},\theta),\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

and

(5.16)

$$\|u\|_{m_1}=\left\|\psi^{-1}u\right\|_{\widetilde{m}}\,,\quad \|v\|_{m_1,\hat\theta}=\left\|\psi^{-1}v\right\|_{\widetilde{m},\hat\theta}\,,\forall u\in L^2(m_1),v\in L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta),$$
$$(u_1\mid u_2)_{m_1}=(\psi^{-1}u_1\mid\psi^{-1}u_2)_{\widetilde{m}},\quad \forall u_1,u_2\in L^2(m_1),$$
$$(v_1\mid v_2)_{m_1,\hat\theta}=(\psi^{-1}v_1\mid\psi^{-1}v_2)_{\widetilde{m},\hat\theta},\quad \forall v_1,v_2\in L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta).$$

Let

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,f}_{b,1}:\Omega^{0,1}(X)\to C^\infty(X) \tag{5.17}$$

be the formal adjoint of $\overline{\partial}_b$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{m_1}$, $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{m_1,\hat\theta}$. We need

Lemma 5.3. *For $v\in\Omega^{0,1}(X)$, we have*

$$\overline{\partial}^{*,f}_{b,1}v=\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^{*,f}_b(\psi^{-1}v). \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. Let $h\in C^\infty_0(X)$, $g\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(X)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\overline{\partial}_bh\,|\,g)_{m_1,\hat\theta}&=(\overline{\partial}_bh\,|\,g\,|\psi|^{-2})_{\widetilde{m},\hat\theta}=(\overline{\partial}_b(\psi^{-1}h)\,|\,\psi^{-1}g)_{\widetilde{m},\hat\theta}\\&=(\psi^{-1}h\,|\,\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^{*,f}_b(\psi^{-1}g))_{\widetilde{m}}=(h\,|\,\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^{*,f}_b(\psi^{-1}g))_{m_1,\hat\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

(5.18) follows. ☐

We need

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}\ \textit{if and only if}\ \psi^{-1}u\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b. \tag{5.19}$$

Moreover,

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,1}u=\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b(\psi^{-1}u),\quad\forall u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}. \tag{5.20}$$

Proof. Let $u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}$. Then, there is a $h\in L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat\theta)$ such that

$$(h\mid\alpha)_{m_1,\hat\theta}=(u\mid\overline{\partial}^{*,f}_{b,1}\alpha)_{m_1},\quad\forall\alpha\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(X). \tag{5.21}$$

Note that $\overline{\partial}_{b,1}u:=h$. From (5.18) and (5.21), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi^{-1}h\mid g)_{\widetilde{m},\hat\theta}&=(h\mid\psi g)_{m_1,\hat\theta}=(u\mid\overline{\partial}^{*,f}_{b,1}(\psi g))_{m_1}\\&=(\psi^{-1}u\mid\psi^{-1}\overline{\partial}^{*,f}_{b,1}(\psi g))_{\widetilde{m}}\\&=(\psi^{-1}u\mid\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^{*,f}_b g)_{\widetilde{m}},\quad\forall g\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(X).\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Since $\psi^{-1}h$ in $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat\theta)$, from (5.22), we conclude that $\psi^{-1}u\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and

$$\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b(\psi^{-1}u)=\psi^{-1}h=\psi^{-1}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}u.$$

We have proved that if $u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}$ then $\psi^{-1}u\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and

$$\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b(\psi^{-1}u)=\overline{\partial}_{b,1}u.$$

We can repeat the procedure above and conclude that if $v\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ then $\psi v\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ and $\psi^{-1}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}(\psi v)=\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_bv$. The lemma follows. ☐

Theorem 5.5. *The operator*

$$\overline{\partial}_{b,1}:\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}\subset L^2(m_1)\to L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat{\theta})$$

has closed range.

Proof. Let $f_j\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}$, $j=1,2,\ldots$, $\overline{\partial}_{b,1}f_j=g_j\in L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat{\theta})$, $j=1,2,\ldots$. We assume that there is a function $g\in L^2_{(0,1)}(m_1,\hat{\theta})$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty}\|g_j-g\|_{m_1,\hat{\theta}}=0$. We are going to show that $g\in\operatorname{Ran}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}$. From (5.19), (5.20) and (5.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi^{-1}f_j\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b,\quad j=1,2,\ldots,\\&\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b(\psi^{-1}f_j)=\psi^{-1}g_j\in L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}),\quad j=1,2,\ldots,\\&\lim_{j\to\infty}\left\|\psi^{-1}g_j-\psi^{-1}g\right\|_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}=0.\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

Since $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ has closed range, we can find $\widetilde{h}\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$ such that $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b\widetilde{h}=\psi^{-1}g$. Put $h=\psi\widetilde{h}\in L^2(m_1)$. From (5.19) and (5.20), we see that $h\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}$ and $\overline{\partial}_{b,1}h=g$. Thus, $g\in\operatorname{Ran}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}$. The theorem follows. ☐

From Theorem 5.5, we can repeat the proof of Theorem 5.2 and conclude that

Theorem 5.6. *The operator*

$$\Box_{b,1}:\operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,1}\subset L^2(m_1)\to L^2(m_1)$$

has closed range.

We need

Lemma 5.7. *We have*

$$u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\ \textit{if and only if}\ \psi^{-1}u\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b.\tag{5.24}$$

Moreover,

$$\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u=\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b(\psi^{-1}u),\quad\forall u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}.\tag{5.25}$$

Proof. Let $u\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}$. We claim that $\psi^{-1}u\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b(\psi^{-1}u)=\psi^{-1}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u$. Put $\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u=h\in L^2(m_1)$. By definition, we have

$$(\overline{\partial}_{b,1}g\mid u)_{m_1,\hat{\theta}}=(g\mid h)_{m_1},\quad\forall g\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_{b,1}.\tag{5.26}$$

From (5.16), Lemma 5.4 and (5.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_bf\mid\psi^{-1}u)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}&=(\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_bf\mid u)_{m_1,\hat{\theta}}=(\overline{\partial}_{b,1}(\psi f)\mid u)_{m_1,\hat{\theta}}\\&=(\psi f\mid h)_{m_1}=(f\mid\psi^{-1}h)_{\widetilde{m}},\quad\forall f\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b.\end{aligned}\tag{5.27}$$

Thus, $\psi^{-1}u\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b(\psi^{-1}u)=\psi^{-1}h=\psi^{-1}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u$.

We can repeat the procedure above and conclude that if $v\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b$ then $\psi v\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}$ and $\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}(\psi v)=\psi\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_bv$. The lemma follows. ☐

Let $\widetilde{N}$ and N be the partial inverses of $\widetilde{\Box}_b$ and $\Box_{b,1}$ respectively and let $\widetilde{\Pi}$ and Π be the orthogonal projections onto $\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\Box}_b$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\Box_{b,1}$ respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\Box}_b\widetilde{N}+\widetilde{\Pi}=I \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\widetilde{m}),\\
&\widetilde{N}\widetilde{\Box}_b+\widetilde{\Pi}=I \quad \text{on} \quad \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b,\\
&\Box_{b,1}N+\Pi=I \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(m_1),\\
&N\Box_{b,1}+\Pi=I \quad \text{on} \quad \operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

From (5.15), (5.16), Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.7, we obtain

Theorem 5.8. *We have*

$$u\in\operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,1} \text{ if and only if } \tfrac{u}{\psi}\in\operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b$$

and

$$\Box_{b,1}u=\psi\widetilde{\Box}_b(\frac{u}{\psi}),\quad \forall u\in\operatorname{Dom}\Box_{b,1}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&N=\psi\widetilde{N}\frac{1}{\psi} \quad \text{on } L^2(m_1),\\
&\Pi=\psi\widetilde{\Pi}\frac{1}{\psi} \quad \text{on } L^2(m_1),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

where N, Π, $\widetilde{N}$ *and* $\widetilde{\Pi}$ *are as in* (5.28).

6. THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF $\widetilde{N}$ AND $\widetilde{\Pi}$ AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2. First, we will study the asymptotic behaviour of $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$ by using the properties of $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$. We recall that $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ are as in (2.3).

From now on, we let $g\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho},\mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X},\mathbb{C}))$ be as in (5.7) and let $g^{*,\widetilde{m}}\in\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho},\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C},\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$ be the formal adjoint of g with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$. That is, $(gu\mid v)_{\widetilde{m}}=(u\mid g^{*,\widetilde{m}}v)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$, for all $u\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(X)$, $v\in C^\infty_0(X)$. We need

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $v\in\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$. *Then,* $\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v\in\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}_b$ *and*

$$\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b(\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v)=\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_bv-\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}g^{*,\widetilde{m}}v.$$

Proof. For all $\alpha\in\Omega^{0,1}_0(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v\mid\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}\alpha)_{\hat{m}}&=(\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v\mid(\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}-g)\alpha)_{\hat{m}} \quad \text{here we used (5.7)}\\
&=(v\mid(\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f}-g)\alpha)_{\widetilde{m}}\\
&=(\hat{\overline{\partial}}_bv\mid\alpha)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}-(g^{*,\widetilde{m}}v\mid\alpha)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} \quad \text{here we used Lemma 5.1}\\
&=(\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_bv-\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}g^{*,\widetilde{m}}v\mid\alpha)_{\hat{m},\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

From (6.1), we can repeat the procedure in the proof of Lemma 5.1 and conclude that

$$(\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v \mid \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*,f} h)_{\hat{m}} = (\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b v - \frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}} g^{*,\widetilde{m}} v \mid h)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}, \quad \forall h \in \Omega^{0,1}(\hat{X}).$$

The lemma follows. ☐

We can prove

Theorem 6.2. *We have* $\operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} = \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}$ *and*

$$\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u + gu, \quad \forall u \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} = \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Let $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}$. We claim that $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u + gu$. From Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1, we can check that for every $v \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b$,

$$\begin{aligned}(v \mid (\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} + g)u)_{\widetilde{m}} &= (\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v \mid \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u)_{\hat{m}} + (v \mid gu)_{\widetilde{m}} \\ &= (\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b(\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}v) \mid u)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} + (g^{*,\widetilde{m}} v \mid u)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} \\ &= (\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b v - \frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}} g^{*,\widetilde{m}} v \mid u)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}} + (\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}} g^{*,\widetilde{m}} v \mid u)_{\hat{m}} \\ &= (\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b v \mid u)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}} = (\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b v \mid u)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Thus, $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} u + gu$.

Similarly, for $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}$, we can repeat the procedure above and conclude that $u \in \operatorname{Dom}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*}$. The theorem follows. ☐

From Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 6.2, we know that

$$\hat{N} : L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde{\Box}_b. \tag{6.4}$$

Let $\hat{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}, \hat{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}} : L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m})$ be the Hilbert space adjoints of $\hat{N}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ with respect to $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ respectively. It is easy to see that

$$\hat{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}} = \frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}}\hat{N}\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}, \quad \hat{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}} = \frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}}\hat{\Pi}\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}. \tag{6.5}$$

Put

$$\hat{R} := g\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{N} = g\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\hat{N}. \tag{6.6}$$

In view of Lemma 2.7 and (5.7), we know that

$$\hat{R} : L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{6.7}$$

Let

$$\hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}} : L^2(\widetilde{m}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}) \tag{6.8}$$

be the Hilbert space adjoint of $\hat{R}$ with respect to $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$. Let $g^{*,\hat{m}} \in \mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$ be the formal adjoint of g with respect to $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{\hat{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot \mid \cdot\,)_{\hat{m},\hat{\theta}}$. It is not difficult to see that

$$\hat{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}} = \frac{\hat{m}}{\widetilde{m}}\hat{N}\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b^{*} g^{*,\hat{m}}\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\hat{m}}. \tag{6.9}$$

From (5.3), (6.5), (6.6), (6.9) and Theorem 3.5, we know that

(6.10)

$$\begin{gathered}\hat\Pi, \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m} : \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \text{ are continuous, } \forall 0<\delta<2,\\ \hat N, \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}, \hat R, \hat R^{*,\widetilde m} : \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta}) \text{ are continuous, } \forall 0<\delta<2.\end{gathered}$$

We need

Lemma 6.3. *On $L^2(\widetilde m)$, we have*

$$\widetilde\Pi(I+\hat R) = \hat\Pi, \tag{6.11}$$

$$(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\widetilde\Pi = \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m}, \tag{6.12}$$

$$\widetilde N(I+\hat R) = \hat N - \widetilde\Pi\hat N, \tag{6.13}$$

$$(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\widetilde N = \hat N^{*,\widetilde m} - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\widetilde\Pi. \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. From (6.4), we know that $\hat N : L^2(\hat m) \to \operatorname{Dom}\widetilde\Box_b$. From Theorem 6.2, we can check that

$$\widetilde\Box_b\hat N + \hat\Pi = \hat\Box_b\hat N + \hat\Pi + \hat R = I + \hat R. \tag{6.15}$$

From (6.15), we have

$$\widetilde\Pi(I+\hat R) = \widetilde\Pi(\widetilde\Box_b\hat N + \hat\Pi) = \widetilde\Pi\hat\Pi. \tag{6.16}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\hat\Pi = (\widetilde N\widetilde\Box_b + \widetilde\Pi)\hat\Pi = \widetilde\Pi\hat\Pi. \tag{6.17}$$

From (6.16) and (6.17), we get (6.11). Taking adjoint with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m}$ in (6.11), we get (6.12).

Now, from Theorem 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat N &= (\widetilde N\widetilde\Box_b + \widetilde\Pi)\hat N = (\widetilde N\hat\Box_b + \widetilde\Pi)\hat N + \widetilde N\hat R\\ &= \widetilde N(I-\hat\Pi) + \widetilde\Pi\hat N + \widetilde N\hat R\\ &= \widetilde N + \widetilde\Pi\hat N + \widetilde N\hat R, \quad \text{here we used that fact that } \widetilde N\hat\Pi = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

From (6.18), (6.13) follows. Taking adjoint with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m}$ in (6.13), we get (6.14). The lemma follows. ☐

Now, we can prove

Theorem 6.4. *Let $0<\delta<2$. $\widetilde N$ and $\widetilde\Pi$ can be continuously extended to:*

$$\begin{gathered}\widetilde\Pi : \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat X),\\ \widetilde N : \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\hat X),\end{gathered} \tag{6.19}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi : \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde m) \text{ is continuous},\\ \widetilde N - \hat N : \mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde m) \text{ is continuous}.\end{gathered} \tag{6.20}$$

Moreover, on $\mathscr{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})$, we have

(6.21)

$$\begin{gathered}(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) + (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat\Pi = \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m},\\ (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde N - \hat N) + (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat N = \hat N^{*,\widetilde m} - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\hat\Pi.\end{gathered}$$

Proof. From (6.11) and (6.13), for $u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})$, define

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde\Pi u := \hat\Pi u - \widetilde\Pi\hat R u,\\ &\widetilde N u := \hat N u - \widetilde\Pi\hat N u - \widetilde N\hat R u.\end{aligned}\tag{6.22}$$

From (6.10), we know that $\hat N u, \hat R u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta}) \subset L^2(\widetilde m)$, thus $\widetilde N\hat R u$, $\widetilde\Pi\hat R u$ and $\widetilde\Pi\hat N u$ are well-defined and $\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi = -\widetilde\Pi\hat R : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde m)$ is continuous, $\widetilde N - \hat N = -\widetilde\Pi\hat N - \widetilde N\hat R : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde m)$ is continuous. We obtain (6.19) and (6.20).

In view of (6.12) and (6.14), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) + (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat\Pi = \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m} \ \text{ on } L^2(\widetilde m),\\ &(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde N - \hat N) + (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat N\\ &= \hat N^{*,\widetilde m} - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\hat\Pi \ \text{ on } L^2(\widetilde m).\end{aligned}\tag{6.23}$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})$. Take $u_j \in C_0^\infty(X)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, $u_j \to u$ in $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta'})$, for every $\delta' < \delta$. From (6.8), (6.10) and (6.20), we see that $(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi)u_j \to (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi)u$ in $L^2(\widetilde m)$, $(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat\Pi u_j \to (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat\Pi u$ in $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta'})$, for every $\delta' < \delta$, $\hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m}u_j \to \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m}u$ in $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta'})$, for every $\delta' < \delta$, $(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde N - \hat N)u_j \to (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde N - \hat N)u$ in $L^2(\widetilde m)$, $(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat N u_j \to (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat N u$ in $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta'})$, for every $\delta' < \delta$, $\hat N^{*,\widetilde m}u_j \to \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}u$ in $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta'})$, for every $\delta' < \delta$, $\hat N^{*,\widetilde m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi)u_j \to \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi)u$ in $L^2(\widetilde m)$, $\hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\hat\Pi u_j \to \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\hat\Pi u$ in $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta'})$, for every $\delta' < \delta$. Thus, on $\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) + (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat\Pi = \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m},\\ &(I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})(\widetilde N - \hat N) + (I+\hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat N = \hat N^{*,\widetilde m} - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\hat\Pi.\end{aligned}$$

We get (6.21). The theorem follows. ☐

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+)$, $\chi(z,t) = 1$ if $\hat\rho(z,t) \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi(z,t) = 0$ if $\hat\rho(z,t) \ge 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, ε small, set $\chi_\varepsilon(z,t) := \chi(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ and put

$$\widetilde m_\varepsilon := (1-\chi_\varepsilon)\widetilde m + \chi_\varepsilon\hat m.\tag{6.24}$$

Then, $\widetilde m_\varepsilon$ is a smooth volume form on $\hat X$. We can check that for ε small,

$$\frac{\widetilde m_\varepsilon}{\widetilde m} = 1 + \chi_\varepsilon(z,t)a(z,t), \quad a(z,t) \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^2).\tag{6.25}$$

We remind that a is as in (5.3). As before, let $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m_\varepsilon}$, $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m_\varepsilon,\hat\theta}$ denote the L^2 inner products on $C^\infty(\hat X)$ and $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat X)$ with respect to $\widetilde m_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde m_\varepsilon$, $\langle\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\hat\theta}$ respectively. We denote by $L^2(\widetilde m_\varepsilon)$, $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde m_\varepsilon, \hat\theta)$ the completions of $C^\infty(\hat X)$, $\Omega^{0,1}(\hat X)$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m_\varepsilon}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m_\varepsilon,\hat\theta}$ respectively. We extend the L^2 inner products $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m_\varepsilon}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde m_\varepsilon,\hat\theta}$ to $L^2(\widetilde m_\varepsilon)$ and $L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde m_\varepsilon, \hat\theta)$ respectively. Note that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$L^2(\widetilde m_\varepsilon) = L^2(\widetilde m) = L^2(\hat m), \quad L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde m_\varepsilon, \hat\theta) = L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde m, \hat\theta) = L^2_{(0,1)}(\hat m, \hat\theta).$$

As before, we extend $\overline\partial_b$ to $L^2(\widetilde m_\varepsilon)$ by

$$\overline{\overline\partial}_{b,\varepsilon} : \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\overline\partial}_{b,\varepsilon} \subset L^2(\widetilde m_\varepsilon) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde m_\varepsilon, \hat\theta).$$

Note that $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_{b,\varepsilon} = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_b = \hat{\overline{\partial}}_b$. Let

$$\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_{b,\varepsilon} : \mathrm{Dom}\,\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_{b,\varepsilon} \subset L^2_{(0,1)}(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon, \hat{\theta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon)$$

denote the Hilbert space adjoint of $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_{b,\varepsilon}$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon,\hat{\theta}}$. We can repeat the proof of Theorem 6.2 and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_{b,\varepsilon} + g_\varepsilon, \quad g_\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}, \mathbb{C})), \\ &\mathrm{Sup}_{x\in\hat{X}}\,|g_\varepsilon(x)| \to 0, \quad \mathrm{Supp}\,g_\varepsilon \to \{p\} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Let $\widetilde{\Box}_{b,\varepsilon} = \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_{b,\varepsilon}\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_{b,\varepsilon}$ denote the Gaffney extension of Kohn Laplacian. Since $\widetilde{\overline{\partial}}_{b,\varepsilon}$ has closed range, $\widetilde{\Box}_{b,\varepsilon}$ has closed range. Let $\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon : L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{Dom}\,\widetilde{\Box}_{b,\varepsilon}$ be the partial inverse of $\widetilde{\Box}_{b,\varepsilon}$ and let $\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon : L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,\widetilde{\Box}_{b,\varepsilon}$ be the orthogonal projection. Since $\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon$ is smooth, $\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon$ satisfy Theorem 2.6, Lemma 2.7, Theorem 2.8, Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 3.5. Put

$$\widetilde{R}_\varepsilon = g_\varepsilon \overline{\partial}_{b,\varepsilon} \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon.$$

Then $\widetilde{R}_\varepsilon : L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon)$ is continuous. Let

$$\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon, : L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}_\varepsilon)$$

be the Hilbert space adjoints of $\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{R}_\varepsilon$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ respectively. We can repeat the procedure above and conclude that

Theorem 6.5. *Let* $0 < \delta < 2$. *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$, $\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon$, $\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon$, $\widetilde{R}_\varepsilon$, $\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon$, $\widetilde{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon$ *and* $\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon$ *can be extended continuously to :*

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{R}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-2+\delta}), \\ &\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Moreover, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\Pi} - \widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}) \textit{ is continuous}, \\ &\widetilde{N} - \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to L^2(\widetilde{m}) \textit{ is continuous}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

and on $\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$,

(6.29)

$$\begin{aligned} &(I + \widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Pi} - \widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon) + (I + \widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon)\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon = \widetilde{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon, \\ &(I + \widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon)(\widetilde{N} - \widetilde{N}_\varepsilon) + (I + \widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon)\widetilde{N}_\varepsilon = \widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon - \widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\Pi} - \widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon) - \widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon\widetilde{\Pi}_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}, \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat{X}))$ be the formal adjoint of g_ε with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}}$ and $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m},\hat{\theta}}$. It is easy to see that

$$\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon = \widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon \widetilde{\overline{\partial}}^*_b g^{*,\widetilde{m}}_\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}),\ 2 > \delta > 0. \tag{6.30}$$

Now, we can prove the pseudolocal properties for $\widetilde{N}$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}$

Theorem 6.6. *Let* $0 < \delta < 2$ *and let* $\chi \in C^\infty(\hat{X})$ *with* $\chi = 1$ *near* p. *Then, for* $u \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta})$, $(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}u \in C^\infty_0(X)$, $(1-\chi)\widetilde{\Pi}u \in C^\infty_0(X)$. *Moreover,*

$$(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}, (1-\chi)\widetilde{\Pi} : \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-4+\delta}) \to C^\infty_0(X)$$

are continuous.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon_0>0$, ε_0 small enough so that $\operatorname{Supp} g_{\varepsilon_0}\bigcap\operatorname{Supp}(1-\chi)=\emptyset$. Take $\chi_1\in C^\infty(\hat X)$ with $\chi_1=1$ on $\operatorname{Supp} g_{\varepsilon_0}$ and $\operatorname{Supp}\chi_1\bigcap\operatorname{Supp}(1-\chi)=\emptyset$. Put

$$C_{\varepsilon_0}=-(I+\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0})\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0}+\widetilde{\Pi}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}.$$

From (6.29) and (6.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\chi)(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})&=-(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})+(1-\chi)C_{\varepsilon_0}\\&=-(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})+(1-\chi)C_{\varepsilon_0}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.31}$$

Let $\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}_{\varepsilon_0}$ be the adjoint of $\widetilde{R}_{\varepsilon_0}$ with respect to $(\,\cdot\,|\,\cdot\,)_{\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}$. We have

$$\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}=\frac{\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}{\widetilde{m}}\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}_{\varepsilon_0}\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}.\tag{6.32}$$

Since $\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}_{\varepsilon_0}$ is a pseudodifferential operator, $(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1$ is a smoothing operator on $\hat X$. From this observation, (6.32) and (6.28), we conclude that

$$(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0}):\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})\to C^\infty_0(X)\text{ is continuous.}\tag{6.33}$$

Moreover, from (6.27), we see that

$$(1-\chi)C_{\varepsilon_0},(1-\chi)\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0}:\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})\to C^\infty_0(X)\text{ are continuous.}\tag{6.34}$$

From (6.31), (6.33) and (6.34), we conclude that

$$(1-\chi)\widetilde{\Pi}:\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})\to C^\infty_0(X)\text{ is continuous.}\tag{6.35}$$

Put

$$D_{\varepsilon_0}=-(I+\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0})\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0}+\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}-\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})-\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0}.\tag{6.36}$$

From (6.29) and (6.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\chi)(\widetilde{N}-\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0})&=-(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(\widetilde{N}-\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0})+(1-\chi)D_{\varepsilon_0}\\&=-(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1(\widetilde{N}-\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0})+(1-\chi)D_{\varepsilon_0}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.37}$$

As before, since $(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1$ is a smoothing operator on $\hat X$, from (6.32) and (6.28), we conclude that

$$(1-\chi)\widetilde{R}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1(\widetilde{N}-\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0}):\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})\to C^\infty_0(X)\text{ is continuous.}\tag{6.38}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})\\&=(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})+(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(1-\chi_1)(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})\\&=(1-\chi)\frac{\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}{\widetilde{m}}\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0}\frac{\widetilde{m}}{\widetilde{m}_{\varepsilon_0}}\chi_1(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0})+(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(1-\chi_1)(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0}).\end{aligned}\tag{6.39}$$

Since $\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0}$ is a pseudodifferential operator, $(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0}\chi_1$ is a smoothing operator on $\hat X$. From this observation, (6.27), (6.35) and (6.39), we see that

$$(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}^{*,\widetilde{m}}_{\varepsilon_0}(\widetilde{\Pi}-\widetilde{\Pi}_{\varepsilon_0}):\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})\to C^\infty_0(X)\text{ is continuous.}\tag{6.40}$$

From (6.36), (6.40) and (6.27), we see that

$$(1-\chi)D_{\varepsilon_0},(1-\chi)\widetilde{N}_{\varepsilon_0}:\mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})\to C^\infty_0(X)\text{ are continuous.}\tag{6.41}$$

From (6.37), (6.38) and (6.41), we conclude that $(1-\chi)\widetilde{N} : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(X)$ is continuous. The theorem follows. $\square$

Now, we can prove the main result of this work

Theorem 6.7. *Let* $0 < \delta < 2$. *For* $u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})$, *we have* $\widetilde\Pi u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta})$, $\widetilde N u \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta})$. *Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde\Pi : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \text{ is continuous},\\ &\widetilde N : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta}) \text{ is continuous}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $0 < \delta < 2$. Let $0 \leq \chi \in C_0^\infty$, $\chi = 1$ near p and $\chi = 0$ outside some small neighbourhood of p. Put $h = -g^{*,\hat m}\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho, \mathscr{L}(\mathbb{C}, \Lambda^{0,1}T^*\hat X))$ and set $B = \hat N \hat{\overline\partial}_b^{*} h$. We take $\operatorname{Supp}\chi$ small enough so that (3.11) hold. Thus, $I - B$ has a continuous inverse $(I-B)^{-1} : L^2(\widetilde m) \rightarrow L^2(\widetilde m)$. Moreover, from Theorem 3.7, we know that $(I-B)^{-1}$ can be continuously extended to:

$$(I-B)^{-1} : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}). \tag{6.42}$$

Put

$$E = -\hat R^{*,\widetilde m}(1-\chi)(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) - (I + \hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat\Pi + \hat\Pi^{*,\widetilde m}.$$

From Theorem 6.6 and (6.10), we see that

$$E : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{6.43}$$

From (6.21) and (6.9), we see that $\frac{\hat m}{\widetilde m}(I-B)\frac{\widetilde m}{\hat m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) = E$. Thus,

$$\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi = \frac{\hat m}{\widetilde m}(I-B)^{-1}\frac{\widetilde m}{\hat m}E. \tag{6.44}$$

Combining (6.44) with (5.3), (6.10), (6.42) and (6.43), we conclude that

$$\widetilde\Pi : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{6.45}$$

Put

$$F = -\hat R^{*,\widetilde m}(1-\chi)(\widetilde N - \hat N) - (I + \hat R^{*,\widetilde m})\hat N + \hat N^{*,\widetilde m} - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}(\widetilde\Pi - \hat\Pi) - \hat N^{*,\widetilde m}\hat\Pi.$$

From Theorem 6.6, (6.10) and (6.45), we see that

$$F : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta}) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{6.46}$$

From (6.21) and (6.9), we see that $\frac{\hat m}{\widetilde m}(I-B)\frac{\widetilde m}{\hat m}(\widetilde N - \hat N) = F$. Thus,

$$\widetilde N - \hat N = \frac{\hat m}{\widetilde m}(I-B)^{-1}\frac{\widetilde m}{\hat m}F. \tag{6.47}$$

Combining (6.47) with (5.3), (6.10), (6.42) and (6.46), we conclude that

$$\widetilde N : \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-4+\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\hat\rho^{-2+\delta}) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{6.48}$$

From (6.45) and (6.48), the theorem follows. $\square$

From Theorem 6.4, Theorem 6.7 and Theorem 5.8, we obtain Theorem 1.2.

7. THE EXISTENCE OF DISTRIBUTIONS IN THE KERNEL OF $\Box_{b,1}$ OF SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE OF p

In this section, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. Near p, put

$$G_p^2\hat{\theta}\wedge d\hat{\theta}=(2i)v(z,t)dt\wedge d\overline{z}\wedge dz. \tag{7.1}$$

From (1.10) and (1.13), it is straightforward to see that near p, we have

$$v(z,t)=\frac{1}{4\pi^2\hat{\rho}^4}+\frac{A}{\pi\hat{\rho}^2}+r,\quad r\in\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1}), \tag{7.2}$$

where A is as in (1.13). Until further notice, we work in some small neighbourhood U of p and we work with the local coordinates (z,t). On U, let $\hat{Z}^1,\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}},\hat{\theta}$ be the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}T^*\hat{X}$ which is dual to $\hat{Z}_1,\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}},-\hat{T}$. Then, $\overline{\partial}_{b,1}u=(\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}u)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}$, $u\in C_0^\infty(U)$. Moreover, it is straightforward to see that

$$\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}(g)=(-\hat{Z}_1-\frac{\hat{Z}_1v}{v})\widetilde{g},\quad\forall g=\widetilde{g}\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\in\Omega_0^{0,1}(U),\ \widetilde{g}\in C_0^\infty(U). \tag{7.3}$$

From(7.3), we conclude that

Lemma 7.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_{b,1}u&=\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}((\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}u)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}})\\&=(-\hat{Z}_1-\frac{\hat{Z}_1v}{v})\circ\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}u,\quad\forall u\in\mathscr{E}'(U)\bigcap\mathscr{D}'(X),\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where v is as in (7.2).

Put

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0&=\chi(z,t)\frac{i\overline{z}}{|z|^2-it}\in\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1}),\\\gamma_0&=-(2\pi)i\chi(z,t)v^{-1}\overline{\psi}^{-3},\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

where $\chi\in C_0^\infty(U)$, $0\leq\chi\leq1$, $\chi=1$ in some small neighbourhood of p, and ψ is as in Theorem 4.4. We notice that $\hat{Z}_1(\overline{\psi})=0$. From this and (7.3), we see that

$$\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}(\gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}})=0\text{ near }p, \tag{7.6}$$

From (1.10), (4.13) and (7.2), it is straightforward to see that

Lemma 7.2. *Near p, we have*

$$\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0=(-i)\frac{|z|^2+it}{(|z|^2-it)^2}+R_0,\quad R_0\in\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^2), \tag{7.7}$$

$$\frac{\hat{Z}_1v}{v}=-2\frac{\overline{z}}{|z|^2+it}+R_1,\quad R_1\in\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}), \tag{7.8}$$

$$\gamma_0=(-i)\frac{|z|^2+it}{(|z|^2-it)^2}+R_2,\quad R_2\in\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^0). \tag{7.9}$$

We need

Lemma 7.3. *We have $\Box_{b,1}\alpha_0\in\mathcal{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$.*

Proof. From (7.7) and (7.9), we have

$$\Box_{b,1}\alpha_0 = \overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}(\hat{\overline{\partial}}_b\alpha_0) = \overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big((\gamma_0 - R_2 + R_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big), \tag{7.10}$$

where $R_0 \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^2)$, $R_2 \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^0)$ are as in (7.7) and (7.9) respectively. Combining (7.10) with (7.3), (7.6) and (7.8), the lemma follows. ☐

Put $f := \Box_{b,1}\alpha_0 \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$. In view of (1.24), we know that Πf is well-defined and $\Pi f \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1})$. Moreover, we have the following

Proposition 7.4. *With the notations used above, we have* $\Pi f = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$f = \Box_{b,1}\alpha_0 = \overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big((\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} - \gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big) + \overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big(\gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big). \tag{7.11}$$

We first claim that

$$\Pi\Big(\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big((\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} - \gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big)\Big) = 0. \tag{7.12}$$

Note that $(\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} - \gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} \in \mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^0)$. We can find $u_j \in \Omega^{0,1}_0(X)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, $u_j \to (\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} - \gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}$ in $\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, $\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u_j \to \overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big((\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} - \gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big)$ in $\mathscr{E}(\hat{\rho}^{-1-\gamma})$, for every $0 < \gamma < 1$. In view of (1.24), we see that

$$\Pi\Big(\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big((\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\alpha_0)\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}} - \gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big)\Big) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\Pi\Big(\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u_j\Big). \tag{7.13}$$

Since $\Pi\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1} = 0$ on $\operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}$ and $\Omega^{0,1}_0(X) \subset \operatorname{Dom}\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}$, we conclude that $\Pi\Big(\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}u_j\Big) = 0$, for each j. From this and (7.13), the claim (7.12) follows.

Put $h := \overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big(\gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big)$. We claim that

$$\Pi h = 0. \tag{7.14}$$

In view of (7.6), we know that $h = 0$ in some neighbourhood W of p, $W \Subset U$. Take $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ so that $B(p, 2r) \Subset W$. For every $0 < \varepsilon < r$, we can find $\phi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty_0(B(p, r + \varepsilon))$ such that $\phi_\varepsilon = 1$ on $B(x, r)$ and

$$\Big\|\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\phi_\varepsilon\Big\|_{L^\infty(\hat{X})} \leq C\varepsilon^{-2}, \tag{7.15}$$

where $C > 0$ independent of ε. (This is always possible, see Chapter 1.4 in Hörmander [8]). Since $h = 0$ on $B(x, 2r)$, we have $h = (1 - \phi_\varepsilon)h$, for every $0 < \varepsilon < r$. Take $g \in C^\infty_0(X)$. For every $0 < \varepsilon < r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(\Pi h \mid g\Big)_{m_1} &= \Big(\Pi\big((1 - \phi_\varepsilon)h\big) \mid g\Big)_{m_1} \\
&= \Big(h \mid (1 - \phi_\varepsilon)\Pi g\Big)_{m_1} = \Big(\overline{\partial}^*_{b,1}\big(\gamma_0\hat{Z}^{\overline{1}}\big) \mid (1 - \phi_\varepsilon)\Pi h\Big)_{m_1} \\
&= -\Big(\gamma_0 \mid (\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\phi_\varepsilon)\Pi h\Big)_{m_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

Note that $\operatorname{Supp}\hat{Z}_{\overline{1}}\phi_\varepsilon \subset B(p, r + \varepsilon) \setminus B(p, r)$ and γ_0, Πh are bounded in some neighbourhood of $B(p, r + \varepsilon) \setminus B(p, r)$. Combining this observation with (7.15), (7.16), we have

$$\left|\Big(\Pi h \mid g\Big)_{m_1}\right| \leq C_1\varepsilon^{-2}\int_{B(p, r+\varepsilon)\setminus B(p, r)} m_1 \leq C_2\varepsilon^2, \tag{7.17}$$

where $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ are constants independent of ε. Let $\varepsilon \to 0$, we conclude that $\Big(\Pi h \mid g\Big)_{m_1} = 0$. We have proved that for every $k \in C^\infty_0(X)$, $\Big(\Pi h \mid k\Big)_{m_1} = 0$. The claim (7.14) follows.

From (7.11), (7.12) and (7.14), the proposition follows. $\square$

From Lemma 7.3, Proposition 7.4 and Theorem 1.3, we obtain Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] R. Beals and P. Greiner, *Calculus on Heisenberg manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 119. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. 200 p. (1988).

[2] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö*, Astérisque, **34–35** (1976), 123–164.

[3] J.-H. Cheng, A. Malchiodi and P. Yang, *A positive mass theorem in three dimensional Cauchy-Riemann geometry,* preprint.

[4] S-C. Chen and M-C. Shaw, *Partial differential equations in several complex variables*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 19. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). Somerville, MA: International Press, xii, 380 p., (2001).

[5] M. Christ, *Regularity properties of the $\overline{\partial}_b$ equation on weakly pseudoconvex CR manifolds of dimension* 3, Journal of the AMS, Vol 1, No. 3, 1988, p. 587–646.

[6] M. Christ, *Pointwise estimates for the relative fundamental solutions of $\overline{\partial}_b$*, Proceedings of the AMS, Vol 104, No. 3, 1988, p. 787–792.

[7] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III*, Grund. Math. Wiss., vol 274, Springer, 1985.

[8] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[9] C.-Y. Hsiao, *Projections in several complex variables*, Mém. Soc. Math. France, Nouv. Sér., **123** (2010), 131 p.

[10] C.-Y. Hsiao and P.-L. Yung, *The tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex on the Heisenberg group Via Conformal Invariance,* preprint.

[11] Kenneth Koenig, *On maximal Sobolev and Holder estimates for the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator and boundary Laplacian*, Amer. J. Math. 124 (2002), no. 1, 129–197.

[12] J. J. Kohn, *The range of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operators*, Duke Math. J., **53** (1986), 131 p.

[13] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Math., vol. 254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007, 422 pp.

Universität zu Köln, Mathematisches Institut, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Germany
E-mail address: `chinyu.hsiao@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA
E-mail address: `pyung@math.rutgers.edu`